



Asian Financial Economics and Policy

Volume I, Issue 2, October 2026

ISSN: 3116-5575 (Online)

<https://journal.ijhba.com/index.php/afep>

<https://slsipress.com>

EDITORIAL

Financial Markets, Economic Resilience, and the Policy Architecture of Asian Development: An Editorial Synthesis

Tong Feng, PhD

Editor, *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*

Qilu University of Technology (Shandong Academy of Sciences), China

Abstract

This editorial introduces Volume 1, Number 2 (October 2026) of *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, which brings together 17 contributions on financial markets, macroeconomic stability, and institutional development in Asia, with particular emphasis on the Philippines and the wider Southeast Asian region. The issue is organized around three questions: how effectively financial markets reflect the underlying conditions of firms, industries, and national economies; the extent to which Asian economies have developed the capacity to withstand financial, macroeconomic, and geopolitical disruption; and what forms of institutional coordination and public policy are required to convert financial development into sustainable and inclusive progress. Seven sectoral studies of listed Philippine companies show that profitability, leverage, and valuation indicators must be read within industry-specific contexts. The macroeconomic contributions examine debt overhang, crisis resilience, speculative risk-taking, exchange-rate pass-through, and the relationships among inflation, growth, and household consumption. Further studies assess the transferability of Singapore's development experience, the distinction between financial access and financial well-being in digital assets and consumer credit, and the reassessment of investment risk in energy and healthcare equities. The editorial closes by proposing a research agenda that integrates corporate and macroeconomic analysis, separates access from outcomes in financial inclusion research, attends to institutional heterogeneity across Asian economies, and widens financial-stability analysis to include technological, geopolitical, and infrastructure-related risks.

Keywords: *financial markets; economic resilience; financial inclusion; macroeconomic stability; stock-market valuation; Southeast Asia; Philippines*

Introduction

Asia's economic development has long been characterized by a paradox: the region has demonstrated extraordinary capacity for growth, industrial transformation, and financial innovation, yet its economies remain vulnerable to recurring episodes of instability, structural inequality, and external disruption. The expansion of capital markets, the increasing sophistication of financial instruments, and the integration of national economies into global financial systems have created opportunities for investment and prosperity. However, these developments have also introduced new forms of financial exposure, intensified the transmission of economic shocks, and raised difficult questions concerning the responsibilities of governments, financial institutions, and market participants.

The October 2026 issue of *Asian Financial Economics and Policy* (AFEP) brings together 17 scholarly contributions examining these interconnected concerns. Collectively, the articles address corporate financial

performance, stock-market valuation, macroeconomic stability, sovereign and private indebtedness, financial inclusion, inflation, economic resilience, and the institutional foundations of long-term development. Their geographical emphasis is predominantly Southeast Asian, with particular attention to the Philippines, although their analytical implications extend to the broader Asian economic landscape.

What distinguishes this collection is not simply the range of financial and economic subjects investigated, but the opportunity to consider them within a shared analytical framework. Financial markets cannot be meaningfully separated from the real economy, economic growth cannot be evaluated independently of its sustainability, and public policy cannot be assessed without considering the institutional conditions through which it operates.

From my perspective as editor, three fundamental questions connect the contributions in this volume. First, how effectively do financial markets reflect the underlying economic conditions of firms, industries, and national economies? Second, to what extent have Asian economies developed the capacity to withstand financial, macroeconomic, and geopolitical disruptions? Third, what forms of institutional coordination and public policy are necessary to transform financial development into sustainable and inclusive economic progress? These questions provide the intellectual foundation for the present issue.

Financial Performance, Market Valuation, and the Diversity of Sectoral Economies

A significant component of this volume examines the financial performance and market characteristics of publicly listed Philippine companies. Seven contributions investigate different sectors of the economy, collectively offering a multidimensional perspective on the relationship between corporate fundamentals, stock-market behavior, and investment considerations (Buenavides et al., 2026; Castillo et al., 2026; De Ocampo et al., 2026; Fajilan et al., 2026; Maya et al., 2026; Ortilla et al., 2026; Sabalvaro et al., 2026).

De Ocampo et al. (2026) examine financial performance and stock-market analysis among selected Philippine banking companies, directing attention to an industry fundamental to credit intermediation and the wider financial system. The banking sector occupies a distinctive position because its performance reflects both institutional profitability and broader economic conditions, including interest-rate movements, lending activity, asset quality, and regulatory requirements.

Castillo et al. (2026) extend the discussion to hotel and leisure companies, where financial performance and valuation must be understood in relation to tourism demand, consumer expenditure, investment intensity, and the sensitivity of discretionary services to economic fluctuations. Their sectoral focus invites consideration of how markets assess industries whose earnings depend substantially on consumer confidence and economic mobility.

Ortilla et al. (2026) examine financial performance, valuation, and stock-market characteristics among selected Philippine transportation companies. Transportation represents an economically significant but capital-intensive industry whose performance is influenced by operational efficiency, energy costs, infrastructure conditions, and changing patterns of commercial activity.

Maya et al. (2026), meanwhile, investigate selected power, water, and energy firms. Their contribution introduces the distinctive financial circumstances of industries providing essential services, where demand characteristics, substantial infrastructure requirements, and regulatory frameworks interact in shaping financial performance and market expectations.

Buenavides et al. (2026) examine investment viability among five listed property companies, drawing attention to the relationship between corporate financial conditions, asset valuation, financing costs, and the cyclical nature of property investment. Sabalvaro et al. (2026) explore financial performance, valuation, and market dynamics in the consumer sector, while Fajilan et al. (2026) address similar concerns among media and

communications firms, industries increasingly influenced by digital transformation, changing consumer preferences, and technological competition.

Considered collectively, these seven studies underscore an important principle: financial performance and investment attractiveness must be interpreted within their respective economic and institutional contexts. A valuation indicator that appears favorable in one industry may carry different implications in another. Similarly, profitability, leverage, market capitalization, and stock-price movements cannot be assumed to represent equivalent levels of financial strength across industries characterized by different capital requirements, operating structures, and exposure to economic shocks.

The value of this sectoral collection therefore lies in encouraging more context-sensitive financial analysis. It invites investors, researchers, and policymakers to examine the relationships among corporate performance, market expectations, and the structural characteristics of economic activity rather than relying exclusively on generalized interpretations of financial indicators.

Financial Fragility, Debt Accumulation, and the Persistence of Economic Vulnerability

Beyond individual companies and sectors, several articles examine the macroeconomic conditions that influence the stability of financial systems and the sustainability of national development.

Jabal and Atento (2026) revisit the relationship among debt overhang, investment, and economic growth in the Philippines from 1970 to 2024, situating their inquiry within the historical experience associated with *La Década Perdida*. Their contribution raises an enduring question in development economics: under what conditions does borrowing support productive investment, and when does accumulated debt become a constraint on future growth?

Debt financing is neither inherently beneficial nor inherently detrimental. Its economic consequences depend upon its productive utilization, the sustainability of repayment obligations, the institutional quality of financial management, and the capacity of an economy to generate sufficient future income. The distinction between growth-enhancing borrowing and destabilizing debt accumulation remains particularly relevant to developing economies confronting persistent infrastructure requirements and competing fiscal priorities.

This historical perspective connects with the work of Tan et al. (2026), who examine whether the Philippine economy has become more resistant to financial crises over the period 1970–2025. The question of resilience is especially important because the experience of economic recovery does not necessarily establish that the underlying sources of vulnerability have been eliminated. An economy may recover from one crisis while remaining susceptible to another. Resilience must consequently be evaluated not merely in terms of renewed economic expansion, but also through the ability of institutions, households, enterprises, and financial systems to absorb disturbances without enduring disproportionate or persistent damage.

Chen and Atento's (2026) examination of speculative risk-taking, debt-financed growth, and financial-stability policy in Asian economies under tight global financial conditions adds another dimension to this discussion. Their article places financial exuberance alongside underlying fragility, inviting scrutiny of circumstances in which favorable market sentiment and expanding credit coexist with accumulating systemic risks.

These three contributions are connected by a common concern: economic expansion and financial stability are related objectives, but they are not synonymous (Chen & Atento, 2026; Jabal & Atento, 2026; Tan et al., 2026). Growth supported by increasing leverage, speculative valuations, or weak institutional safeguards may create vulnerabilities that remain obscured during periods of favorable economic conditions. For policymakers, the challenge is therefore not simply to encourage investment and credit formation, but to establish systems

capable of identifying and managing emerging vulnerabilities before they develop into broader financial disturbances.

Inflation, Exchange Rates, and the Transmission of Macroeconomic Shocks

Macroeconomic stability is further explored through the contributions examining inflation, exchange-rate movements, economic growth, and household consumption.

Siores et al. (2026) investigate exchange-rate pass-through to consumer-price inflation across ASEAN-6 economies between 2000 and 2024, with particular attention to dynamic and asymmetric relationships. Their inquiry reflects the importance of understanding how movements in exchange rates may influence domestic prices, especially in economies dependent upon imported intermediate goods, energy, and consumer products.

Exchange-rate depreciation and appreciation need not produce equivalent or immediate domestic price adjustments. The magnitude and timing of price transmission may depend on market structure, import dependence, pricing behavior, monetary conditions, and expectations. These considerations complicate the formulation of monetary and exchange-rate policies, particularly when external financial conditions change rapidly.

The longitudinal panel analysis of inflation, economic growth, and household consumption in ASEAN-10 from 1987 to 2025 presented by Mawtun et al. (2026) broadens this discussion by situating price developments within the longer-term relationships among economic activity and household expenditure. Household consumption represents a critical connection between macroeconomic performance and everyday economic welfare. Although national output may expand, the benefits experienced by households depend partly on the purchasing power of income, employment conditions, access to credit, and the distribution of economic opportunities. Inflation is consequently more than a monetary indicator; it is also a mechanism through which macroeconomic instability affects household welfare, business expectations, and social conditions.

Together, these contributions emphasize the need to examine monetary stability, growth, and household welfare as interacting dimensions of economic performance (Mawtun et al., 2026; Siores et al., 2026). Policies designed to stabilize prices must be assessed alongside their possible implications for output, investment, and consumption. Likewise, policies intended to stimulate growth must consider whether the resulting expansion is compatible with sustainable inflation dynamics and financial stability. The wider implication is that macroeconomic policy cannot be reduced to the management of isolated indicators. Its effectiveness depends upon the relationships among economic variables and the institutional context in which policy decisions are implemented.

Economic Transformation and the Limits of Developmental Emulation

An additional contribution addresses one of Southeast Asia's most significant development questions: why have certain economies achieved remarkable structural transformation while others have experienced slower or more uneven progress? R. G. O. Atento and Espelita (2026) examine Singapore's economic transformation through a systematic review focusing on accumulation, coordination, and the limits of transferring its development experience to other Southeast Asian economies.

Singapore offers an important reference point for discussions of institutional capacity, investment mobilization, human-capital formation, economic openness, and strategic coordination. However, the relevance of its experience should not be confused with the assumption that its policies can be replicated mechanically. National development strategies operate within specific historical, geographical, political, demographic, and institutional environments. Policies associated with successful transformation in one country may yield different outcomes elsewhere because the conditions supporting their implementation are not necessarily present. This

distinction is particularly significant for developing Southeast Asian economies seeking to accelerate productivity, attract investment, strengthen public institutions, and improve their participation in international markets.

The central policy question is not whether Singapore's development trajectory should be copied, but which underlying institutional principles may be adapted to different national circumstances. When considered alongside the studies of Philippine economic crises, debt accumulation, and ASEAN macroeconomic conditions (Jabal & Atento, 2026; Mawtun et al., 2026; Tan et al., 2026), this contribution encourages a more comprehensive understanding of development. Sustainable progress requires not only investment and market participation, but also institutional coordination, policy continuity, administrative capacity, and the ability to adjust strategies as economic circumstances evolve.

Digital Finance, Speculation, and the Changing Boundaries of Financial Inclusion

The relationship between financial innovation and economic vulnerability represents another important theme in this issue. R. G. O. Atento and Atento (2026a) examine non-fungible tokens, informal financial inclusion, and regulatory lag in Southeast Asia through the experience of speculative expansion and subsequent market adjustment. Their work directs attention to the capacity of digital financial arrangements to attract participation beyond traditional financial institutions while simultaneously creating new challenges for consumer protection, market governance, and regulatory oversight.

Financial innovation can expand access to economic opportunities, lower certain barriers to participation, and facilitate new forms of digital exchange. Nevertheless, participation in emerging financial markets should not automatically be interpreted as meaningful financial inclusion. Access to a speculative instrument differs substantially from access to affordable, reliable, and appropriately regulated financial services. A growing number of market participants does not necessarily imply improved financial security or long-term economic welfare.

A related concern emerges in the scoping review by R. G. O. Atento and Atento (2026b) of digital consumer credit, repayment behavior, and household financial well-being in Southeast Asia. This contribution raises questions concerning the extent to which expanding access to digital borrowing improves household financial circumstances and whether the available evidence adequately supports such conclusions. Digital credit may offer convenience and increased access to short-term financing, but its broader developmental implications depend upon borrowing costs, repayment conditions, financial literacy, consumer safeguards, and the productive or consumptive purposes for which borrowed funds are used.

These contributions invite a more critical distinction between financial accessibility and financial well-being (R. G. O. Atento & Atento, 2026a, 2026b). Financial inclusion should not be measured exclusively by the number of people accessing financial products or participating in markets; it should also be evaluated through the quality, sustainability, and consequences of that participation. For regulators and policymakers, the continuing challenge is to support innovation without allowing technological development to outpace the institutions necessary to protect financial consumers and maintain market integrity.

Geopolitical Disruptions, Essential Industries, and the Reassessment of Investment Risk

Two further contributions broaden the conventional interpretation of financial risk by examining how geopolitical disruptions and essential-service characteristics influence capital-market valuations. A. G. B. Atento et al. (2026) present a thematic review of chokepoint disruptions, bypass infrastructure, fear premiums, and equity repricing in Asia's import-dependent economies. Their work directs attention to the financial consequences of disruptions affecting strategically important transportation and energy routes.

In an economically interconnected region, disturbances to critical infrastructure and trade corridors can influence supply expectations, transportation costs, energy security, and investor perceptions. Such disruptions demonstrate how financial markets may respond not only to realized economic losses, but also to expectations

concerning future scarcity, uncertainty, and geopolitical instability. The concept of a fear premium is particularly relevant to understanding how anticipated risks may become incorporated into asset prices even before their full economic consequences are observable.

Quinto et al. (2026) examine another important investment question through their analysis of inelastic demand, healthcare equities, and the defensive characteristics of healthcare investments in Asian capital markets. Healthcare occupies a distinctive position because demand for many essential medical services is less sensitive to changes in household income than demand for discretionary goods and services. Nevertheless, relatively stable demand does not automatically guarantee stable corporate earnings or equity valuations. Regulatory decisions, reimbursement arrangements, operating costs, technological developments, and market expectations may influence healthcare companies in ways that complicate the assumption that the sector is uniformly defensive.

These two contributions therefore encourage a more sophisticated understanding of risk (A. G. B. Atento et al., 2026; Quinto et al., 2026). Energy security and healthcare provision concern essential economic functions, yet the financial instruments associated with these industries remain subject to market uncertainty and institutional influences. The broader lesson is that the economic necessity of a service should not be equated automatically with the financial security of the firms providing it.

Toward a More Integrated Asian Financial and Economic Research Agenda

Although the contributions in this issue employ different research perspectives and investigate different levels of economic activity, they collectively point toward an important intellectual proposition: financial development, economic resilience, and institutional effectiveness must be understood as interconnected processes.

The sectoral financial analyses demonstrate the importance of recognizing heterogeneity in corporate performance and market valuation (Buenavides et al., 2026; Castillo et al., 2026; De Ocampo et al., 2026; Fajilan et al., 2026; Maya et al., 2026; Ortilla et al., 2026; Sabalvaro et al., 2026). The macroeconomic contributions direct attention to the relationships among debt, growth, inflation, consumption, and crisis exposure (Chen & Atento, 2026; Jabal & Atento, 2026; Mawtun et al., 2026; Siores et al., 2026; Tan et al., 2026). The studies of Singapore's transformation and Philippine economic resilience highlight the significance of historical experience and institutional context (R. G. O. Atento & Espelita, 2026; Tan et al., 2026). Meanwhile, the investigations of digital finance, speculative behavior, geopolitical disruption, and defensive equity characteristics expand the conventional boundaries of financial risk analysis (A. G. B. Atento et al., 2026; R. G. O. Atento & Atento, 2026a, 2026b; Quinto et al., 2026). Taken together, these contributions also suggest several directions for future scholarship.

First, greater integration between corporate finance and macroeconomic research would improve understanding of how national economic disturbances are transmitted into firm-level performance and investment decisions. Financial ratios and market valuations become more informative when interpreted alongside monetary conditions, regulatory environments, and structural economic changes.

Second, research on financial inclusion should increasingly distinguish between access and outcomes. Expanding financial participation is an important objective, but the ultimate developmental significance of financial inclusion depends upon whether it improves household resilience, reduces vulnerability, and supports productive economic activity.

Third, comparative research across Asian economies should give greater attention to institutional differences. Regional integration has strengthened economic relationships, but it has not eliminated disparities in state capacity, financial development, demographic conditions, or productive structure. These differences remain essential to understanding why similar economic policies may generate different outcomes.

Fourth, financial-stability research should incorporate a wider range of risks, including technological innovation, geopolitical exposure, infrastructure dependence, and changes in investor behavior. Conventional financial indicators remain indispensable, but they may not fully capture vulnerabilities originating outside the immediate boundaries of financial institutions.

Finally, there is a continuing need for research that connects financial performance and economic growth with broader questions of human welfare. Economic development should not be judged exclusively by the expansion of output, financial transactions, or market capitalization, but also by the durability and distribution of its benefits.

Such an agenda requires methodological diversity, careful interpretation of empirical evidence, and a willingness to acknowledge the limitations of individual studies. No single article can resolve the complex relationships among financial markets, public policy, and economic development. Progress emerges through cumulative scholarship, comparative analysis, and constructive debate.

Concluding Reflections

The 17 contributions presented in this October 2026 issue reflect the breadth and complexity of contemporary financial and economic challenges in Asia. From corporate valuations and industry-specific investment characteristics to sovereign indebtedness, monetary transmission, digital credit, crisis resilience, and institutional transformation, the articles collectively encourage a more integrated examination of how economies grow, how financial systems function, and why vulnerabilities persist. The issue does not imply that its contributors share identical theoretical positions or arrive at uniform empirical conclusions. Its intellectual value rests instead in bringing different research questions into conversation with one another.

For me, the central consideration emerging from this collection is that economic progress must be understood not merely as the capacity to accumulate wealth or expand financial activity, but as the ability to develop institutions and systems capable of sustaining prosperity under changing circumstances. Financial markets are valuable mechanisms for allocating capital, communicating expectations, and supporting economic activity. Yet they are not independent of the societies and institutions within which they operate. Their contribution to development depends upon the productive use of financial resources, the credibility of regulatory structures, the resilience of economic actors, and the broader objectives pursued through public policy.

Asia's future development will undoubtedly involve further financial innovation, deeper market integration, and continuing structural transformation. Whether these developments translate into greater economic security and more widely shared prosperity will depend upon the choices made by governments, institutions, enterprises, and households. It is in examining those choices, their consequences, and the evidence upon which they should be based that scholarly research makes its most meaningful contribution.

On behalf of the editorial leadership of *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, I extend my appreciation to the authors whose contributions constitute this issue. I also acknowledge the continuing importance of rigorous scholarly inquiry and interdisciplinary dialogue in advancing the study of financial economics and public policy. I invite our readers to engage critically with these articles, examine the questions they raise, and contribute to the broader scholarly discussion concerning the future of financial markets, economic resilience, and sustainable development in Asia.

Tong Feng, PhD

Editor, *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*
Volume 1, Number 2 — October 2026

References

- Atento, A. G. B., Espelita, C. A. M. H., & Atento, R. G. O. (2026). Fear premiums, bypass infrastructure, and equity repricing: A thematic review of chokepoint disruptions and energy-sector valuation in Asia's import-dependent economies. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/13yv3d73>
- Atento, R. G. O., & Atento, A. G. B. (2026a). Boom, bust, and catch-up: Non-fungible tokens, informal financial inclusion, and regulatory lag in Southeast Asia. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/yyjpgc27>
- Atento, R. G. O., & Atento, A. G. B. (2026b). Easy credit, uneven evidence: A scoping review of digital consumer credit, repayment, and household financial wellbeing in Southeast Asia. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/ytyv9g24>
- Atento, R. G. O., & Espelita, C. A. M. H. (2026). Accumulation, coordination, and the limits of emulation: A systematic review of Singapore's economic transformation and its transferability to developing Southeast Asia. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/vn45ht03>
- Buenavides, A. J. N., Amutan, B. A. E., Evora, C. A., & Nona, R. G. P. (2026). Investment viability of the Philippine property sector: A comparative analysis of five listed property companies. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/9nhfzm76>
- Castillo, A., Del Rosario, C., Maldia, A. J. T., & Salcedo, C. (2026). Financial performance, valuation, and stock-market behavior of selected Philippine hotel and leisure companies. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/nhmn2p54>
- Chen, S., & Atento, R. G. O. (2026). Exuberance amid fragility: Speculative risk-taking, debt-financed growth, and financial-stability policy in Asian economies under tight global conditions. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/smxa4b96>
- De Ocampo, G. P., Espina, A. D., Mangubat, M. M., & Mazaredo, K. I. (2026). Financial performance and stock market analysis of selected Philippine banking companies. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/4pn4pa10>
- Fajilan, A. A. V., Llanto, N. L., Kalaw, F. S. S., Quian, A. M. P., & Ricablanca, P. J. D. (2026). Financial performance, valuation, and market dynamics of selected Philippine media and communications firms. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/kp3dtb96>
- Jabal, R., & Atento, R. G. O. (2026). Debt overhang investment and economic growth in the Philippines (revisiting La Década Perdida 1970 to 2024). *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/efky9k07>
- Mawtun, W., Llanto, N. L., Espelita, C. A. M. H., Atento, A. G. B., Kalaw, F. S. S., Maya, N. M., Ortilla, G. A. R., Maldia, A. J. T., & Atento, R. G. O. (2026). Inflation, economic growth, and household consumption in ASEAN-10: A longitudinal panel analysis, 1987–2025. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/52kdxj54>
- Maya, N. M., Javier, J., Malolos, C. A. H., & Rabanera, D. K. P. (2026). Financial performance, valuation, and market behavior of selected Philippine power, water, and energy firms. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/aeht9052>
- Ortilla, G. A. R., Gueverra, D. C. O., Ocfemia, C. J. M., Oruga, C. B., & Uranza, E. J. F. (2026). Financial performance, valuation, and stock market characteristics of selected Philippine transportation companies. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/3vp60v56>
- Quinto, L. F., Castañeda, C., & Atento, R. G. O. (2026). Inelastic demand, elastic policy: Rethinking the defensive status of healthcare equities in Asian capital markets. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/31mp8d08>

- Sabalvaro, B. M. J., Del Mundo, F. T., Manzana, P. A. S., Mendez, R. T., & Panganiban, L. R. S. (2026). Financial performance, valuation, and market dynamics of selected Philippine consumer-sector firms. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/vkcvcb51>
- Siores, V., Feng, T., & Atento, R. G. O. (2026). Exchange-rate pass-through to consumer-price inflation in ASEAN-6: Dynamic and asymmetric evidence, 2000–2024. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/2hhk6948>
- Tan, Q., Feng, T., & Atento, R. G. O. (2026). From vulnerability to resilience: Has the Philippine economy become more resistant to financial crises? Evidence from 1970–2025. *Asian Financial Economics and Policy*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.65166/kcjj3r20>