https://doi.org/10.65166/zv9wg323

Frontier Science and Futures
Volume I, Issue 1, July 2026
https://journal.ijhba.com/index.php/fsf
https://slsipress.com

From Myth to Metric: Apolaki Caldera, Compounding Geohazards, and the Case for an Integrated Early Warning Framework in the Philippines

Ramon George Atento, PhD[1], Leah F. Quinto, PhD[2], Cherry Ann Marie Espelita, MBA[3]

[1]First Asia Institute of Technology and the Humanities
[2]De La Salle Medical and Health Science Institute
[3]Silverline College, Australia

Abstract

A popular narrative circulating in Philippine public discourse for several decades asserts that the Philippines sits atop or within the world's largest underwater caldera — a claim that conflates legitimate frontier science with decades of distortion and amplification. This paper subjects that narrative to systematic scholarly examination and advances a corrective, evidence-grounded account of both the scientific hypothesis it misrepresents and the genuine geohazard complexity it obscures. The Apolaki Caldera, proposed by Barretto, Wood, and Milsom in a 2020 Marine Geology publication, refers to a morphostructural interpretation of a large circular depression on the crest of the Philippine Rise — an Eocene Large Igneous Province located approximately 250 km offshore in deep oceanic crust. The hypothesis is supported by multibeam bathymetric and gravimetric evidence consistent with a collapse caldera of approximately 150 km in diameter, but it remains unverified by the independent seismic profiling, direct rock sampling, and high-precision geochronology that confirmation at this scale would require. The structure is ancient, extinct, and poses no documented active volcanic hazard to the Philippine population. The paper employs an integrative thematic review design across five literature domains — caldera science, Philippine Rise geology, Philippine geohazard systems, international earthquake early warning architectures, and volcano monitoring frameworks — to accomplish four objectives: evaluating the Apolaki hypothesis against current evidence; accurately characterizing Philippine compounding geohazard exposure; assessing the structural gap between Philippine early warning capacity and international performance benchmarks; and proposing a conceptual framework for integrated geohazard early warning suited to Philippine institutional and geographic conditions. The analysis finds that the Philippines' verified geohazard profile — doubly vergent subduction systems, the Philippine Fault Zone, 24 classified active volcanoes, and historically documented cascading hazard events — is independently severe and demands a substantially more integrated, performance-accountable, and publicly communicated early warning architecture than the current literature documents. Recommendations are directed at the Philippine geoscience research community, national disaster risk reduction agencies, and science communication practitioners.

Keywords: *Apolaki Caldera; Philippine Rise geohazards; earthquake early warning systems; integrated volcanic monitoring; compounding geohazard exposure; Philippine tectonic hazard; science communication and geological myth*

Article History: Received: March 2, 2026 Revised April 28, 2026 Accepted: May 25, 2026

1. Introduction

For decades, a particular claim has circulated in Philippine popular culture with the persistence of geographic folklore: that the Philippines sits atop — or inside — the world's largest underwater caldera, a buried volcanic colossus lurking beneath the archipelago's seas. The claim has appeared in mass-circulation periodicals, been passed across generations in casual conversation, and has experienced periodic revival with each new cycle of social media amplification. It carries the texture of revelation — the suggestion that an existential geological secret underlies the nation — and it has proven remarkably resistant to correction, in part because it contains a kernel of legitimate scientific intrigue and in part because the institutions capable of clarifying it have never addressed the public version of the claim in a sustained, accessible, and academically grounded way.

The kernel of truth is this: there is, in fact, a large submarine volcanic structure associated with the Philippine margin. In 2020, Barretto, Wood, and Milsom published a morphostructural analysis in Marine Geology proposing that the crest of the Philippine Rise — also known as Benham Rise, an underwater oceanic plateau located approximately 250 kilometers east of Luzon — exhibits features consistent with a collapse caldera of approximately 150 kilometers in diameter. These features include a broken outer rim, intra-caldera benches or terraces, and a central block interpreted as a resurgent dome. The authors named the proposed structure Apolaki Caldera, after a figure in Philippine mythology, and assigned its primary volcanic activity to the Eocene epoch, approximately 48 to 43 million years ago, with post-caldera volcanism extending into the Oligocene. If the caldera interpretation is confirmed, Apolaki would rank among the largest known caldera-scale features on Earth.

The popular narrative, however, is not this. The popular version asserts that the Philippines is effectively contained within a single, active or latently active underwater volcanic depression — that the entire archipelago rests on or within a giant caldera, constituting a hidden national hazard. This version distorts the scientific hypothesis in several compounding ways: it misrepresents the structure's location (offshore, not beneath the inhabited islands), its scale relative to the archipelago (the structure is submarine and marginal, not foundational), its age (Eocene–Oligocene, not geologically recent), its activity status (currently interpreted as extinct), and its scientific standing (a well-argued but not yet independently verified morphological hypothesis, not an established geological fact). The result is a public narrative that is simultaneously more dramatic and less scientifically useful than the actual evidence warrants.

This paper has a corrective function, but its argument does not end with correction. The distorted popular narrative is worth examining not merely because it is inaccurate, but because its persistence reveals something important about the state of science communication on Philippine geohazards — and because the underlying reality, properly characterized, is in its own way more consequential than the myth. The Philippines does not sit inside a single giant caldera. What it does sit within is a convergence of verified, active, and compounding geohazard systems of extraordinary density: the Philippine Mobile Belt, bounded by oppositely dipping subduction zones including the Manila Trench to the west and the Philippine Trench to the east; the Philippine Fault Zone, one of the most seismically active strike-slip systems in Southeast Asia; a chain of Quaternary volcanoes including Taal, Mayon, and Kanlaon, several of which host their own caldera systems; and a marine geologic margin that remains, by the standards of modern ocean-floor science, substantially undersampled and incompletely understood. The Apolaki hypothesis is the most recently prominent feature of this margin, and its emergence into both scientific and popular discourse provides a timely occasion for the kind of integrative, myth-correcting, and analytically rigorous assessment that the Philippine geoscience literature has not yet produced in a publication-oriented form accessible to the research community and informed public.

This paper proceeds from four explicit objectives. The first is to evaluate the Apolaki Caldera hypothesis against the current state of scientific evidence — assessing what the morphological and geophysical data support, what remains unverified, and how the scientific status of the hypothesis differs from its popular representation. The second is to accurately characterize the Philippines' compounding geohazard exposure, drawing on the established literature on Philippine tectonics, seismicity, and volcanic systems, in order to replace the mythologized version of Philippine geologic risk with a scientifically grounded one. The third is to examine the state of Philippine earthquake early warning and volcano monitoring infrastructure relative to the performance benchmarks established by international comparators — specifically Japan, Mexico, Taiwan, and the United States — in order to identify the principal gaps between current national capacity and the minimum standards required for a country with this level of geohazard exposure. The fourth objective is to propose, in conceptual terms, a framework for integrated geohazard awareness grounded in these benchmarks, with particular attention to what is transferable from international practice to the Philippine institutional and geographic context. This fourth objective is intentionally framed at the level of conceptual architecture rather than operational specification; it is offered as a contribution to the research agenda and policy conversation, rather than as a finished engineering blueprint.

The paper is organized as an integrative thematic review. It draws on peer-reviewed literature in marine geology, caldera science, Philippine tectonics, seismology, volcanology, and hazard communication. It does not generate primary data, and it does not claim to resolve the Apolaki hypothesis; that resolution awaits the geophysical imaging and direct sampling programs that the literature identifies as necessary next steps. What the paper does claim is that the existing body of evidence, properly synthesized and critically evaluated, supports a substantially different and more analytically useful account of Philippine geohazard complexity than the one that currently circulates in public discourse, and that this account has direct implications for research prioritization, science communication, and institutional preparedness.

Two clarifications of scope are necessary at the outset. First, this paper treats the Apolaki structure as a subject of scientific evaluation, not hazard alarmism. The evidence does not support the claim that Apolaki poses an active or near-term volcanic threat to the Philippine population, and this paper will not make such a claim. Second, the paper's engagement with early warning systems and hazard monitoring is framed as a concluding analytical implication, not a parallel empirical inquiry. The primary analytical contribution of this paper is the critical evaluation of the Apolaki hypothesis and the accurate characterization of Philippine geohazard exposure; the early warning argument follows from that characterization as its most consequential practical implication.

The stakes of this inquiry are not abstract. The Philippines is among the most disaster-exposed nations on Earth by any measure of geophysical risk density. The gap between that exposure and the institutional, scientific, and communication infrastructure available to manage it is, as the literature reviewed here will show, substantial and documentable. Getting the science right — beginning with something as apparently folkloric as the question of whether the Philippines sits inside a giant caldera — is not a merely academic exercise. It is a precondition for the kind of clear-eyed, evidence-grounded national conversation about risk, preparedness, and survival that the country's geologic position demands.

2. Review Of Related Literature

2.1 Caldera Formation: Mechanisms, Morphology, and Diagnostic Criteria

Calderas are among the most consequential and morphologically distinctive features produced by volcanic systems, yet their formation mechanisms, structural variability, and diagnostic signatures remain subjects of active scientific inquiry. The foundational definition — a large volcanic depression formed by the collapse of a volcanic edifice above a partially evacuated or depressurized magma reservoir (Fouquet et al., 2018; Geyer & Martí, 2008) — encompasses a wide range of structural outcomes that differ significantly in geometry, scale, and formative dynamics. Understanding these differences is prerequisite to evaluating any specific caldera claim, including the Apolaki hypothesis.

The mechanical literature on caldera formation has converged on several well-supported principles. Collapse is initiated when the withdrawal of magma from a shallow reservoir — whether through eruption, lateral intrusion, or hydrothermal degassing — removes sufficient support for the overlying rock mass, triggering failure along ring faults or a network of conjugate fractures (Geshi, 2018). Cole, Milner, and Spinks, among others, have reviewed studies on caldera structures and classification (Cole et al., 2004), and this work contributes to the understanding that caldera morphology is strongly conditioned by factors such as the ratio of reservoir depth to diameter, the rheology of the host rock, the rate of magma withdrawal, and the presence or absence of pre-existing structural weaknesses (Michon et al., 2008; Muro et al., 2021). Piston-like collapse, in which a coherent roof block subsides along near-vertical ring faults, tends to produce well-defined, steep-walled calderas with relatively flat floors (Geshi, 2018; Roche et al., 2000). Piecemeal collapse, driven by sequential failure of structurally heterogeneous roof sections, produces more irregular morphologies with multiple benches, asymmetric rims, and variable floor depths (Geshi, 2018; Roche et al., 2000). Both end-members, and a spectrum of intermediate forms, are represented in the global record.

Resurgent calderas — those exhibiting post-collapse uplift of the caldera floor or central block — have received particular attention in the literature because they indicate continued or renewed magmatic activity following initial collapse. Geyer and Martí are recognized for their contributions to understanding caldera formation through analog models (Geyer & Martí, 2014), and studies on caldera resurgence (Acocella et al., 2000) suggest that intrusion of new magma produces resurgent domes within the collapse structure after the initial evacuation event, generating characteristic morphologies including a domed or block-uplifted central section surrounded by intra-caldera moats or benches (Galetto et al., 2017). These features, when preserved, are characteristic indicators of a true collapse caldera (Got et al., 2019; Petrinovic et al., 2010).

The question of whether caldera identification is possible without direct rock sampling is directly relevant to the Apolaki evaluation. The literature is broadly affirmative but carefully qualified. Bathymetric mapping, particularly multibeam systems capable of sub-meter vertical resolution, can resolve rim morphology, bench geometry, floor structure, and central uplift features with sufficient fidelity to support a caldera interpretation in favorable conditions (Fouquet et al., 2018). Gravimetric analysis adds a subsurface dimension by revealing mass anomalies consistent with thick volcanic accumulations, low-density caldera fill, or the density contrasts associated with ring-fault zones (MacQueen et al., 2021; McKee et al., 1999). Seismic reflection and refraction profiling, where available, can resolve stratigraphic architecture, fault geometries, and crustal thickness in ways that substantially strengthen or weaken a caldera hypothesis (Sacchi et al., 2014, 2019). However, the literature consistently cautions that at extreme scales — particularly diameters exceeding 50 to 100 kilometers — the morphological signal expected of a discrete collapse caldera becomes increasingly difficult to distinguish from the signatures of other large-scale volcanic, tectonic, or constructional processes (White et al., 2018). Roche and colleagues (Roche et al., 2000) discuss scaling considerations in experimental studies of caldera formation and highlight that scaling laws derived from laboratory experiments and well-documented terrestrial calderas may not extrapolate unambiguously to structures of exceptional size, introducing interpretive uncertainty that only direct sampling can substantially reduce.

The global inventory of large calderas provides a useful empirical baseline. Well-documented examples exceeding 50 kilometers in diameter — including Yellowstone (approximately 55 by 72 kilometers) and Toba in Sumatra (approximately 100 by 30 kilometers) — all exhibit the expected morphological suite: identifiable rims or structural breaks, intra-caldera stratigraphic sequences, and, in many cases, resurgent uplift. However, these are predominantly subaerial or shallow-water features where ground truth through drilling, geochemical sampling, and direct observation is possible. The submarine caldera record is considerably less well documented, and structures in deep oceanic settings present additional interpretive challenges arising from the modification of original morphologies by subsidence, erosion, mass wasting, sediment infill, and the superimposition of subsequent tectonic deformation over geologic time.

The diagnostic literature therefore supports the following working conclusion: morphological and geophysical evidence can constitute a credible, publishable basis for a caldera hypothesis, particularly when multiple independent data types converge on consistent interpretations (Hasegawa et al., 2022; Sacchi et al., 2014). It does not, in the absence of direct sampling and subsurface imaging, constitute definitive proof, and the strength of a morphology-only case diminishes as the proposed structure's scale and complexity increase (Martí, 2019). This conclusion directly frames the evaluative standard applied to the Apolaki hypothesis in the section that follows.

2.2 The Apolaki Caldera Hypothesis and the Geology of the Philippine Rise

The Philippine Rise — also known as Benham Rise, a designation that reflects its earlier naming in the international hydrographic literature — is a submarine oceanic plateau located in the Philippine Sea, approximately 150 kilometers east of northern Luzon (Milsom, 2023). It is a morphologically prominent feature of the West Philippine Basin, rising significantly above the surrounding abyssal plain; its crest has an average depth of approximately 2,500 meters below sea level (Barretto et al., 2019), while its shallowest point, Benham Bank, is approximately 50 meters deep (Rojas et al., 2019). The broader massif extends approximately 310 by 330 kilometers, with subsidiary ridges extending outward (Barretto et al., 2019).

The geologic interpretation of the Philippine Rise as a Large Igneous Province of Eocene age is broadly established in the marine geological and geophysical literature (Barretto et al., 2019). Gravimetric modeling indicates an anomalously thick volcanic crust beneath the plateau, estimated at approximately 15 kilometers (Barretto et al., 2019), consistent with the large-volume magmatic outpourings characteristic of LIP construction above mantle melting anomalies or plume-related thermal upwellings. Geochronological constraints derived from dredged basaltic samples place the principal phase of shield-building volcanism at approximately 48 to 41 million years ago, with subsequent volcanic episodes extending to approximately 26 million years ago during the Oligocene (Barretto et al., 2019). This LIP framework — ancient, submarine, and volcanically extinct — is not in scientific dispute and is accepted across multiple independent investigations of the West Philippine Basin.

The caldera hypothesis advanced by Barretto, Wood, and Milsom in their 2019 Marine Geology paper represents a significant interpretive addition to this framework. Drawing on nearly complete multibeam bathymetric coverage of the Philippine Rise crest, supplemented by gravimetric and morphostructural analysis, the authors identified a circular depression at the summit of the plateau with a diameter of approximately 150 kilometers (Barretto et al., 2019). They describe this depression as bounded by a broken outer rim, containing multiple intra-caldera benches of 3 to 15 kilometers in width with associated escarpments of 100 to 300 meters, and exhibiting a central block interpreted as a resurgent dome produced by post-collapse intrusion (Barretto et al., 2019). They propose a three-stage volcanic history: an initial Eocene shield-building phase producing the broader LIP structure; a catastrophic collapse event that formed the caldera; and a post-caldera phase of renewed volcanism producing rifted ridges and seamounts superimposed on the collapse structure (Barretto et al., 2019). The authors named the proposed caldera Apolaki, invoking the Philippine mythological figure associated with the sun and war, and submitted the name for formal recognition to the International Hydrographic Organization's Sub-Committee on Undersea Feature Names.

The scientific significance of the Apolaki hypothesis, if confirmed, would be considerable. A submarine collapse caldera of 150 kilometers diameter would rank among the largest known caldera-scale features on Earth, comparable in scale only to the most extreme examples in the terrestrial and shallow-marine volcanic record (Barretto et al., 2019). The authors themselves acknowledge the exceptional nature of the claim, noting that the scale of the structure initially invites comparison with impact crater morphologies before the volcanic interpretation is established through morphostructural argument (Barretto et al., 2019). They advance volcanic collapse as the more parsimonious explanation given the LIP context and the consistency of the observed features with known caldera morphological suites, but they explicitly recognize that independent verification through direct sampling and denser geophysical imaging is required to establish the interpretation definitively.

The current scientific status of the Apolaki hypothesis reflects both its strengths and its limitations. On the affirmative side, the morphological description by Barretto and colleagues is detailed and internally consistent, and the LIP geologic context is independently established (Barretto et al., 2019). On the cautionary side, the interpretation rests primarily on bathymetry and gravity without the corroboration of dense seismic reflection profiling across key structural positions — rim, benches, and central uplift — that would be necessary to resolve ring-fault geometries and caldera-floor architecture. Geochemical sampling of the morphologically interpreted units has not been published in a form sufficient to distinguish caldera-wall materials from resurgent intrusive products using petrogenetic fingerprinting or to resolve collapse timing through high-precision geochronology. Alternative explanations — including composite tectonic subsidence, volcanic plateau collapse without a discrete ring-fault mechanism, or structural warping by subsequent deformation — have not been conclusively eliminated.

It is important to situate the Apolaki structure clearly in geographic and hazard terms. The feature is located on the submarine crest of the Philippine Rise, not beneath the inhabited Philippine island chain (Barretto et al., 2019). It is an offshore, deep-water structure in an oceanic rather than continental crustal setting. Its most recently constrained volcanic activity dates to the Eocene–Oligocene, with no published evidence of Holocene or historically recent volcanic behavior (Barretto et al., 2019). There is, accordingly, no documented basis in the current literature for treating Apolaki as an active or near-term volcanic hazard to the Philippine population. The popular narrative that presents it as a latently threatening colossus beneath the archipelago is not supported by, and is in multiple respects directly contradicted by, the scientific record as it currently stands.

2.3 Philippine Geohazard Exposure: Tectonics, Fault Systems, and Active Volcanism

Whatever the ultimate scientific verdict on the Apolaki Caldera hypothesis, the Philippines' genuine geohazard exposure requires no mythological amplification. The archipelago occupies one of the most tectonically complex and geodynamically active regions on Earth, situated at the convergence of multiple tectonic plates and microplates whose interactions produce a near-continuous record of seismic, volcanic, and mass-movement hazard (Hayes et al., 2017). The verified scientific literature on Philippine tectonics, seismicity, and volcanism documents an exposure profile that is, by any global measure, extraordinary in its density and diversity of active hazard systems.

The Philippine Mobile Belt constitutes the principal tectonic framework of the archipelago (Jin et al., 2025). It is bounded to the west by the Manila Trench, the Negros Trench, the Sulu Trench, and the Cotabato Trench — a series of west-vergent subduction zones along which the Eurasian Plate and associated microplates descend eastward beneath the Philippine Sea Plate (Hayes et al., 2017). To the east, the Philippine Trench and the East Luzon Trough represent east-dipping subduction zones where the Philippine Sea Plate overrides oceanic crust (Hayes et al., 2017). This configuration of oppositely verging subduction systems, convergent on a relatively narrow crustal belt, produces a stress regime of exceptional complexity that is expressed in high rates of seismicity, active volcanism, and rapid crustal deformation (Hayes et al., 2017). The Philippine Fault Zone, a major left-lateral strike-slip structure extending approximately 1,200 kilometers through the length of the archipelago from Mindanao to Luzon, accommodates a significant component of the differential motion between the bounding plates and is among the most seismically active strike-slip faults in Southeast Asia (Hayes et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2011).

The seismic hazard profile of the Philippines reflects this tectonic complexity directly. Instrumental seismicity records document a persistent pattern of moderate to large earthquakes distributed across the fault systems of the Mobile Belt, with recurrence of damaging events on timescales of decades to centuries at individual fault segments (Aurelio et al., 2025; Tsutsumi & Perez, 2011). The Valley Fault System in metropolitan Manila — comprising the West Valley Fault and East Valley Fault — has been the subject of particularly intensive study given its proximity to the largest urban concentration in the country (Rimando & Knuepfer, 2024). Paleoseismic trenching and recurrence interval analysis published by the Philippine Institute of Volcanology and Seismology and collaborating institutions indicate that the West Valley Fault is capable of producing earthquakes in the magnitude 7.2 to 7.6 range, with an estimated recurrence interval on the order of 400 to 600 years (Rimando et al., 2022). The most recent major rupture on this segment is estimated at approximately 1658, placing the system within a time window consistent with recurrence of a major event within the coming century (Nelson, 2000). Scenario modeling for a West Valley Fault rupture has projected casualty figures and infrastructure losses on a scale that would constitute one of the most consequential urban earthquake disasters in Southeast Asian history (Medel et al., 2020).

The volcanic hazard landscape is correspondingly complex. The Philippine archipelago hosts 24 volcanoes classified as active by PHIVOLCS (Lim et al., 2022); active volcanoes are generally defined as having erupted within the past 600 years or exhibiting current signs of unrest (Manville et al., 2000). Among these, Taal Volcano, located approximately 60 kilometers south of Manila on Luzon, presents a particularly well-documented caldera system. Taal occupies a caldera lake — Taal Lake — that is itself contained within a larger ancestral caldera depression (Zlotnicki et al., 2008), and the volcano has produced explosive eruptions of varying intensity throughout the historical record, including the dramatic phreatomagmatic eruption of January 2020 that generated a volcanic plume reaching approximately 15 kilometers in height (Pérez et al., 2022) and required the evacuation of hundreds of thousands of residents. Mayon Volcano in Albay province is among the most active volcanoes in the Philippines, with more than 50 recorded eruptions since 1616 and a well-documented pattern of lava flow and pyroclastic hazards affecting densely populated flanks (Casadevall et al., 2019; Ruth & Costa, 2021). Kanlaon Volcano in the Visayas and Bulusan Volcano in Sorsogon are similarly classified as active and have exhibited recent unrest episodes (Sevilla et al., 2020). The Laguna de Bay depression in Luzon has been identified in the literature as the site of a Quaternary caldera structure, though its current hazard status is the subject of ongoing assessment (Arpa et al., 2008; Flood et al., 2004).

The compounding nature of Philippine geohazard exposure is perhaps its most consequential characteristic. Earthquake-triggered landslides and submarine slides capable of generating tsunamis have been documented in the Philippine historical and geological record (Dohmen et al., 2025; Ramirez et al., 2022). Volcanic eruptions can trigger lahars — volcanic debris flows — that remain hazardous for decades after the primary eruptive event, as the legacy of the 1991 Pinatubo eruption in Central Luzon demonstrated at extraordinary scale. Typhoon-driven rainfall on lahar-depositional systems creates secondary hazard cascades that extend the geographic and temporal footprint of volcanic events far beyond their immediate impacts (Biass et al., 2024; Tierz et al., 2017). The overlapping spatial distributions of seismic, volcanic, and hydrometeorological hazard zones in the Philippines create conditions in which multiple hazard systems can interact, amplify, and compound each other in ways that single-hazard assessment frameworks systematically underestimate (Padilla et al., 2021; Ybañez et al., 2020).

It is within this verified, multi-system geohazard context — not the mythologized caldera narrative — that the question of Philippine preparedness and early warning capacity must be situated. The scientific record does not require Apolaki to be active or hazardous to establish that the Philippines confronts a geologic exposure of the first order. The active fault systems, subduction zones, and volcanic complexes of the Philippine Mobile Belt are, in the documented evidence, sufficient to demand the most rigorous institutional and scientific response that the country's resources and international partnerships can support (Jin et al., 2025).

2.4 International Earthquake Early Warning Systems: Architectures, Metrics, and Performance Benchmarks

Earthquake early warning — the capacity to detect the onset of a significant seismic event and transmit actionable alerts to potentially affected populations before destructive shaking arrives — has moved from theoretical concept to operational reality in several high-seismicity nations over the past three decades (Colombelli et al., 2025). The scientific and engineering literature on EEW systems now encompasses a substantial body of performance evaluation, architectural comparison, and implementation research that provides a rigorous basis for benchmarking national capacity (Chandrakumar et al., 2022). This literature also establishes, with considerable consistency, what EEW systems can and cannot accomplish — a distinction essential to avoiding both the underutilization and the overselling of early warning technology as a risk-reduction tool.

The physical basis of EEW is the velocity differential between primary seismic waves, which travel faster through the Earth's crust but carry less energy and cause no structural damage, and secondary and surface waves, which travel more slowly but are responsible for the destructive ground motion that collapses structures and injures populations (Fauvel, 2020; Rea et al., 2025). An EEW system that detects a P-wave at a sensor station close to the epicenter can, in principle, estimate the earthquake's location and magnitude and transmit an alert to more distant sites before the destructive S-waves and surface waves arrive (Given et al., 2014; Thelen et al., 2016). The achievable lead time — the interval between alert issuance and the onset of strong shaking at the target site — is a function of the distance between the source and the sensor network, the distance between the source and the target population, the speed of P-wave detection and magnitude estimation, and the speed and reliability of the alert transmission infrastructure (Parolai et al., 2017; Thelen et al., 2016). At typical distances and wave velocities, lead times range from a few seconds to several tens of seconds — insufficient for evacuation of buildings in most circumstances, but sufficient for a well-documented range of protective actions including drop-cover-hold responses, automatic deceleration of high-speed rail systems, isolation of industrial processes, suspension of surgical procedures, and opening of fire station doors to prevent apparatus entrapment (Becker et al., 2020; Given et al., 2018).

Japan operates the most extensively studied and institutionally mature EEW system in the world (Tajima & Hayashida, 2018; Velazquez et al., 2020). The Japan Meteorological Agency's EEW service, launched publicly in 2007 (Kodera et al., 2021), draws on a dense nationwide seismic network (Tajima & Hayashida, 2018) supplemented by the DONET (Kaneda, 2014; Nanjo et al., 2023) and S-net (Mori et al., 2022; Schlesinger et al., 2021) cabled ocean-bottom sensor arrays deployed along the Nankai Trough and Japan Trench, respectively. The addition of offshore cabled arrays following the 2011 Tōhoku earthquake and tsunami — which exposed the limitations of land-only sensor networks for detecting and characterizing megathrust ruptures in near-trench settings (Mori et al., 2022; Schlesinger et al., 2021) — represents the most significant recent development in Japanese EEW architecture. Studies evaluating the S-net contribution to EEW performance have demonstrated measurable reductions in detection latency for offshore events and improvements in lead-time distributions for coastal populations (Kodera et al., 2021), validating the investment in ocean-floor sensing as a particularly important enhancement for island and coastal nations exposed to subduction-zone hazard. Japanese performance metrics are routinely published and subject to independent evaluation (Kodera et al., 2021; Yamada et al., 2025), providing a transparent benchmark that includes magnitude estimation accuracy, alert latency, false-alarm rates, and lead-time distributions disaggregated by event magnitude and source-receiver geometry.

Mexico's SASMEX system, operational since the early 1990s (Alcántara-Ayala et al., 2023) and one of the world's longest-running public EEW services (Suárez, 2022; Tajima & Hayashida, 2018), is particularly instructive as an analog for developing-nation implementation. The system employs magnitude-distance alerting thresholds calibrated to provide actionable lead times to Mexico City from earthquakes originating along the Guerrero subduction segment (Alcántara-Ayala et al., 2023; Tan et al., 2022), with alert criteria including minimum magnitude thresholds that vary with source distance (Suárez, 2022; Velazquez et al., 2020). Performance analyses of SASMEX have examined false-alert rates, missed-event rates, and public behavioral responses to alerts (Vaiciulyte et al., 2022; Velazquez et al., 2020), yielding a body of evidence on the social as well as technical dimensions of EEW operation that is directly relevant to Philippine implementation planning. The Mexican experience also documents the challenges of maintaining public trust in an EEW system over long operational periods, particularly when alert thresholds are calibrated to minimize false alarms at the cost of occasional missed events, or when destructive earthquakes occur with insufficient lead time for practical protective action (Tan et al., 2022; Velazquez et al., 2020).

The United States ShakeAlert system, developed through a partnership of the U.S. Geological Survey and West Coast universities (DeGroot et al., 2025) and expanded toward public notification from 2018 onward (Cochran et al., 2018), has contributed particularly to the technical literature on alert-threshold optimization (Minson et al., 2021). Analyses of ShakeAlert performance have examined the trade-off between sensitivity thresholds and false-alert rates (Given et al., 2018; Minson et al., 2019), with recent studies suggesting that magnitude thresholds up to M 5.5 provide an effective balance between capturing genuinely damaging events and avoiding the alert fatigue associated with frequent notifications for non-damaging shaking (McGuire et al., 2025). The ShakeAlert literature also addresses the heterogeneity of end-user needs — critical infrastructure operators, emergency managers, and general public users have different tolerances for false alarms and different minimum actionable lead times (Given et al., 2018; Minson et al., 2019) — and the corresponding need for tiered alert products that serve multiple audiences simultaneously (Given et al., 2014).

Taiwan's EEW system, operated by the Central Weather Administration (Wu et al., 2013), has been extensively evaluated in the context of the island's high seismicity and dense population (Xu et al., 2016). Studies of Taiwanese EEW performance have documented the capacity for rapid magnitude determination using the first 10 seconds of strong-motion recordings (Wu et al., 2007) and have examined lead-time achievements in relation to the distribution of source zones relative to population centers (Wu, 2002). Taiwan's system is particularly relevant to the Philippine context given the geographic and tectonic analogies between the two island nations — both are arc systems embedded in subduction-dominated tectonic settings with dense coastal populations and limited mainland buffering from near-shore seismic sources (Hayes et al., 2017; Hutchings & Mooney, 2024).

The international literature establishes several performance parameters that function as transferable benchmarks (Minson et al., 2022): median alert lead times disaggregated by source-receiver distance (Lara et al., 2024); false-alert and missed-event rates as functions of magnitude threshold (Massin et al., 2018); magnitude estimation accuracy at early processing times (Massin et al., 2018); and the specific added value of offshore sensing for subduction-zone dominated hazard settings (Ende et al., 2024). These parameters, taken together, define the minimum viable performance envelope against which Philippine EEW capacity should be evaluated — and against which the gap between current Philippine infrastructure and international standards can be quantitatively characterized.

2.5 Volcano Monitoring Frameworks: Multi-Parameter Alert Systems and International Practice

The scientific basis for volcanic eruption forecasting — unlike earthquake prediction — has advanced to the point where precursory signals can, in favorable circumstances, provide hours to weeks of warning before significant eruptive events (Bato, 2018; Dempsey et al., 2022). This forecasting capacity rests on the systematic monitoring of multiple physical and chemical parameters that reflect the state of the volcanic system beneath the surface: the movement of magma and magmatic fluids through the crust produces characteristic seismic signatures (Gottsmann et al., 2017); the pressurization of volcanic edifices by intruding melt generates measurable surface deformation (Howe et al., 2025; Siebert & Reid, 2023); the degassing of magmatic volatiles produces detectable gas emissions (Loughlin et al., 2015); and the thermal expression of near-surface magmatic activity is observable through infrared remote sensing and direct temperature measurement. The integration of these parameters within a coherent alert-level framework translated into publicly communicated escalation protocols constitutes what the literature identifies as multi-parameter volcano monitoring (Potter et al., 2014; Winson et al., 2014).

The scientific literature on eruption precursors documents both the strengths and the limitations of multi-parameter monitoring. Seismic precursors — including increases in volcano-tectonic earthquake rates, the emergence of long-period events associated with fluid movement in volcanic conduits, harmonic tremor indicating sustained fluid flow, and the spatial migration of seismicity indicating the ascent of magma through the edifice — are among the most reliably observed pre-eruptive signals across a wide range of volcanic systems and eruption styles (Boué, 2015; Galina & Shapiro, 2024). Ground deformation monitoring using Global Navigation Satellite Systems and Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar has demonstrated the capacity to detect centimeter-scale inflation or deflation of volcanic edifices associated with magma intrusion or withdrawal, providing spatially continuous information on edifice pressurization that complements the point-source character of seismic monitoring (Pritchard et al., 2022). Gas monitoring, particularly measurement of sulfur dioxide flux using correlation spectrometers and ultraviolet cameras, has become a standard component of multi-parameter systems following the demonstration that SO_2 emission rates correlate reliably with the degree of magma degassing and therefore with the proximity of magma to the surface (Oppenheimer et al., 2011; Tamburello et al., 2010) — a relationship exploited operationally in forecasting escalations at volcanoes including Soufrière Hills in Montserrat (Edmonds et al., 2003), Piton de la Fournaise in Réunion (Hayer et al., 2023), and Kīlauea in Hawaiʻi (Elias et al., 2018).

The translation of multi-parameter monitoring data into public alert levels requires an institutional framework that maps observable parameter thresholds onto actionable public communication states (Chovanec et al., 2025). The United States Geological Survey Volcano Hazards Program employs a two-track alert system combining a four-level Aviation Color Code — addressing the hazard to aircraft from volcanic ash — with a four-level Volcano Alert Level for ground-based hazards, ranging from Normal through Advisory and Watch to Warning (Lowenstern, 2014). These levels are defined by observable criteria including seismic activity rates, deformation trends, gas emission rates, and visual observations of the volcanic summit (Manville et al., 2000), and are published openly with associated recommended actions for different user communities (Fearnley et al., 2018). The systematic definition of escalation criteria serves two functions documented in the literature: it provides monitoring scientists with explicit decision thresholds that reduce the cognitive load and potential for inconsistency in high-pressure operational situations (Potter et al., 2014), and it provides the public and emergency managers with a predictable, legible communication framework that builds trust and reduces both under-response and over-response to volcanic unrest (Fearnley et al., 2018).

The problem of false-alarm fatigue is a recurring concern in the volcano monitoring literature (Dempsey et al., 2022; Fearnley et al., 2018). Studies of public and institutional responses to prolonged volcanic unrest — particularly at systems that exhibit extended periods of elevated seismicity or deformation without culminating in eruption — document a well-characterized degradation of alert compliance over time, in which repeated evacuations or alerts that do not precede eruption erode public confidence in the monitoring system and reduce the probability of compliance with protective actions when a genuine eruptive event eventually occurs (Fearnley et al., 2018). Winson and colleagues, among others, have examined the statistical and communication strategies available to monitoring agencies for managing this trade-off, including the use of probabilistic eruption forecasting communicated with explicit uncertainty, the calibration of alert thresholds to historical false-alarm base rates, and the development of tiered alert systems that distinguish between different risk levels and different recommended actions in ways that preserve actionability while acknowledging uncertainty (Winson et al., 2014).

The transferability of international volcano monitoring frameworks to resource-constrained institutional contexts has received attention in the literature concerning developing-nation volcanic hazard management (Donovan & Oppenheimer, 2019). Studies of monitoring capacity in Central America, the Caribbean, Indonesia, and the southwestern Pacific document both the technical and governance dimensions of the transferability problem (Loughlin et al., 2015; Lowenstern et al., 2021). On the technical side, the core multi-parameter monitoring suite — broadband seismometers, continuous GNSS receivers, SO_2 spectrometers, and satellite-based InSAR processing — has become increasingly accessible in cost and logistical terms, reducing the barrier to minimum viable monitoring capacity at active volcanic systems (Jousset et al., 2024; Loughlin et al., 2015; Matoza & Roman, 2022). On the governance side, the literature identifies institutional continuity, interagency communication protocols, clear mandates for public communication, and community engagement in evacuation planning as critical factors that determine whether technically adequate monitoring systems translate into effective hazard management outcomes (Bretton et al., 2018; Pallister et al., 2019). The Philippine case is relevant in this context because PHIVOLCS operates one of the most institutionally developed volcanic monitoring programs in Southeast Asia, with established multi-parameter networks at priority volcanoes and a public alert-level framework that has been tested operationally during the 2020 Taal eruption and multiple Mayon and Kanlaon unrest episodes (Martinez-Villegas et al., 2021, 2022). The literature suggests that the primary gaps in the Philippine system are less in the domain of basic monitoring capacity than in the integration of monitoring outputs into a coherent, publicly communicated, and performance-evaluated early warning architecture comparable to the systems documented in the international literature (Aguirre-Ayerbe et al., 2020).

2.6 Synthesis of Literature

The five thematic bodies of literature reviewed above converge on a coherent analytical picture, though one that is characterized as much by productive tension as by consensus. Taken together, they support three overarching observations that are central to the argument of this paper.

The first is that the Apolaki Caldera hypothesis occupies a legitimate but provisional position in the frontier geoscience literature. The caldera science literature establishes that morphological and geophysical convergence across multiple data types constitutes a credible, publication-worthy basis for a caldera interpretation — but that at diameters approaching or exceeding 100 kilometers, the diagnostic reliability of such evidence diminishes without direct subsurface corroboration (Cole et al., 2004). The Apolaki evidence meets the threshold for a credible hypothesis; it does not meet the threshold for a confirmed geological fact. The Philippine Rise literature establishes the LIP framework that contextualizes the hypothesis (Barretto et al., 2019), and the naming review process acknowledges its scientific basis while treating the designation as provisional. The synthesis of these two bodies of literature yields a clear and reproducible conclusion: Apolaki is a well-argued, scientifically serious, and currently unverified morphological hypothesis about an ancient, extinct, offshore volcanic structure — not an active hazard, not an established caldera, and emphatically not the subterranean colossus of popular imagination.

The second observation is that the Philippines' documented geohazard exposure is independently extraordinary and requires no amplification from unverified structures to justify the highest levels of scientific attention and institutional preparedness. The tectonic and volcanic hazard literature presents a consistent and convergent picture of a nation bounded by oppositely vergent subduction systems, traversed by one of Southeast Asia's most seismically active strike-slip fault systems, and hosting multiple Quaternary volcanic complexes with documented histories of explosive and effusive activity. The West Valley Fault recurrence data, the Taal eruption record, and the Pinatubo lahar legacy together constitute a hazard profile whose severity is grounded in evidence rather than speculation. The synthesis of this literature with the Apolaki evidence reveals the central irony of the popular narrative: the real geologic situation is in several respects more consequential than the myth, yet it receives less sustained public attention precisely because it lacks the dramatic simplicity of the single-caldera story.

The third observation concerns the gap between Philippine geohazard exposure and early warning capacity. The EEW and volcano monitoring literatures collectively document a mature, performance-benchmarked, and institutionally embedded set of practices in Japan, Mexico, Taiwan, and the United States that have been validated through operational deployment and independent evaluation. The Philippine literature, by contrast, reflects a system with credible foundational infrastructure — PHIVOLCS, its seismic and volcanic monitoring networks, and its public alert-level framework — but without the performance documentation, offshore sensing augmentation, alert-threshold codification, and interagency integration that characterize the international leaders. The gap is not one of basic capacity but of systematic architecture: the elements exist, but their integration into a coherent, publicly accountable, and externally benchmarked early warning system has not been demonstrated in the literature at a level comparable to international standards. This finding, synthesized across the EEW and volcano monitoring themes, provides the evidentiary foundation for the paper's concluding framework argument.

2.7 Gaps in the Literature

The reviewed literature reveals several significant gaps that limit the current state of knowledge and define a productive agenda for future research.

The most consequential gap is the absence of independent geophysical and geochemical verification of the Apolaki Caldera hypothesis. No published study subsequent to Barretto, Wood, and Milsom has presented dense seismic reflection or refraction profiles resolving ring-fault architecture, caldera-floor stratigraphy, or intracaldera fill across the proposed structure. No published geochemical dataset distinguishes caldera-wall lithologies from resurgent dome materials using radiogenic isotope systematics or high-precision geochronology. The hypothesis rests on a single, methodologically coherent but data-limited study, and its scientific status cannot advance without the targeted ocean-floor investigation that the literature identifies as necessary.

A second gap concerns the integration of offshore geologic data into Philippine national hazard assessment frameworks. The reviewed literature addresses Philippine tectonics and volcanic hazards primarily from the perspective of onshore and near-shore systems. The marine geological margin — including not only the Philippine Rise but also the trench systems bounding the archipelago to the east and west — is substantially underrepresented in published hazard assessments. The potential contribution of improved offshore geological characterization to tsunami pathway modeling, subduction-zone rupture segmentation, and EEW sensor placement optimization is acknowledged in the EEW literature but has not been operationalized in the Philippine context.

Third, the literature contains no published performance evaluation of Philippine EEW capacity that applies the benchmarking metrics — lead-time distributions, false-alert rates, missed-event rates, magnitude estimation accuracy — that are standard in the Japanese, Mexican, Taiwanese, and American literatures. PHIVOLCS operational reports exist but do not constitute the kind of systematic, externally validated performance analysis that the international EEW literature employs as a standard accountability mechanism. This gap makes it impossible, on the basis of published evidence alone, to quantify the precise distance between current Philippine EEW capacity and international benchmarks.

Finally, the literature on false-alarm fatigue and public alert compliance — extensively developed for Japan, Mexico, New Zealand, and Indonesia — has not been systematically applied to the Philippine context in a way that accounts for the country's specific combination of high hazard frequency, institutional trust deficits, and community-level disaster experience. Philippine-specific studies of public behavioral response to volcanic alerts remain limited in geographic coverage and methodological scope.

2.8 Contribution of the Present Paper

This paper contributes to the literature in three related ways. First, it provides a critical, publication-oriented synthesis of the Apolaki Caldera hypothesis that distinguishes clearly among the morphological evidence, the interpretive framework, the naming status, and the hazard implications of the proposed structure — a synthesis that does not currently exist in the accessible scholarly literature in the integrative form that both geoscientific and science communication audiences require. Second, it situates the Apolaki question within a broader, evidence-grounded characterization of Philippine compounding geohazard exposure, demonstrating that the scientific reality of Philippine geologic risk is both more accurately characterized and more practically consequential than the popular narrative that has circulated for decades. Third, it advances the case for benchmarked, integrated early warning architecture in the Philippines by mapping the performance metrics and institutional features of international EEW and volcano monitoring systems onto the documented gaps in Philippine capacity — establishing, at the conceptual level, the minimum viable framework toward which Philippine research investment and institutional development should be directed. In doing so, the paper serves the JFSF mission of bringing rigorous analytical discipline to frontier, publicly misunderstood, and consequentially underserved topics at the intersection of science, society, and futures.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This paper employs an integrative thematic review with a conceptual-analytical framework. This designation reflects the nature of the inquiry: the paper does not generate primary empirical data, conduct surveys or interviews, perform statistical analyses, or execute field or laboratory investigations. Instead, it synthesizes, critically evaluates, and analytically integrates existing bodies of peer-reviewed scientific literature, institutional reports, and geoscientific documentation to advance a structured argument about a contested scientific hypothesis, a documented hazard exposure profile, and a comparative assessment of early warning infrastructure. The integrative thematic review is an established methodology in scientific and policy-oriented scholarship, distinguished from the systematic review by its explicit orientation toward conceptual synthesis and argument construction rather than exhaustive enumeration of all available studies on a defined question (Kutcher & LeBaron, 2022). It is particularly appropriate for frontier or cross-disciplinary topics where the literature is distributed across multiple fields, where the primary analytical task involves integrating disparate evidence streams into a coherent interpretive framework, and where the contribution of the paper lies as much in the quality of its synthesis and argumentation as in the breadth of its coverage (Lonkila & Kaljonen, 2021).

3.2 Source Orientation

The literature base for this paper spans five disciplinary domains: marine geology and geophysics, with particular attention to submarine volcanic structures and large igneous provinces; caldera science, including experimental, observational, and theoretical studies of caldera formation mechanisms and morphological diagnostics; Philippine tectonics, seismology, and volcanology; earthquake early warning systems design, performance evaluation, and social dimensions; and volcano monitoring frameworks, alert-level systems, and hazard communication. Within each domain, primary reliance was placed on peer-reviewed journal articles and book chapters, supplemented where necessary by institutional technical reports, observatory operational publications, and geoscientific naming committee documentation. The Barretto, Wood, and Milsom (2019) publication in Marine Geology serves as the primary source for the Apolaki Caldera hypothesis and is treated with particular analytical care given its status as the foundational and, to date, principal peer-reviewed treatment of the proposed structure. Institutional sources including publications from the Philippine Institute of Volcanology and Seismology, the Japan Meteorological Agency, the United States Geological Survey, and the IHO Sub-Committee on Undersea Feature Names are incorporated where they provide authoritative documentation of operational systems, naming decisions, or monitoring frameworks not fully represented in the journal literature.

3.3 Inclusion Logic

Sources were selected on the basis of four criteria. Relevance was the primary criterion: sources were included if they contributed directly to one or more of the paper's thematic domains, as defined by the literature framework established prior to the review. Scientific credibility was the second criterion: preference was given to sources published in peer-reviewed journals indexed in major scientific databases, with institutional reports and grey literature included only where they provided documentation not available in the primary literature. Recency was applied as a supplementary criterion in rapidly evolving domains — particularly EEW system performance and Philippine volcanic monitoring — where literature published within approximately the past decade was prioritized to ensure that the benchmarks and operational parameters cited reflect current rather than superseded practice. This recency criterion was relaxed for foundational theoretical and experimental literature in caldera science and Philippine tectonics, where seminal contributions from earlier decades retain full analytical authority. Discriminative value was the fourth criterion: sources that reproduced findings already well-represented in the review, or that addressed tangential topics without contributing meaningfully to the paper's central argument, were excluded in the interest of analytical focus.

3.4 Thematic Grouping Logic

The literature was organized into five thematic clusters corresponding to the five subsections of the Review of Related Literature. This thematic architecture was designed to serve the sequential logic of the paper's argument: the caldera science theme establishes the evaluative criteria applied to Apolaki; the Apolaki and Philippine Rise theme applies those criteria to the central scientific subject; the Philippine geohazard theme grounds the broader hazard characterization in verified evidence independent of the Apolaki question; and the EEW and volcano monitoring themes provide the international benchmarks against which Philippine early warning capacity is assessed. The synthesis, gaps, and contribution subsections then integrate across all five themes to identify convergences, tensions, and the specific analytical territory that the paper claims as its contribution. This architecture ensures that each thematic cluster does more than present its literature in isolation — each contributes a specific, necessary component to the paper's cumulative argument.

3.5 Evaluative and Analytical Criteria

Across all five thematic domains, the analytical evaluation of literature was governed by a consistent set of epistemic criteria derived from the paper's core commitment to distinguishing among established evidence, interpretation, inference, and speculation. For the Apolaki literature, evaluation applied the diagnostic standards established in the caldera science theme — specifically, the conditions under which morphological and geophysical evidence alone is sufficient to support a caldera interpretation at extreme scales, and the conditions under which direct sampling and subsurface imaging are required for confirmation. Claims made in the popular and semi-popular literature about Apolaki were evaluated against the peer-reviewed record and categorized according to whether they accurately represent, exaggerate, or contradict the published evidence. For the EEW and volcano monitoring literature, evaluation focused on the quality and transparency of performance documentation — specifically, whether cited systems report the metrics (lead-time distributions, false-alarm rates, missed-event rates, alert threshold definitions) that constitute the benchmarking standard of the field. Sources that reported operational narratives without quantified performance parameters were treated as contextually useful but insufficient as benchmark references. For the Philippine hazard literature, evaluation assessed the quality of the recurrence and scenario modeling evidence underlying hazard characterizations, with particular attention to distinguishing between well-constrained quantitative estimates and more speculative projections.

3.6 Limitations

Several limitations of this approach are acknowledged. As a non-empirical review, the paper is bounded by the published literature and cannot resolve empirical questions — most notably the verification of the Apolaki Caldera hypothesis — that await primary data collection. The absence of a systematic database search protocol with pre-registered inclusion and exclusion criteria means that the review cannot claim exhaustive coverage of all relevant publications; it is a principled and rigorous selection rather than a census. The literature on Philippine EEW performance is notably thin relative to the literatures on Japan, Mexico, Taiwan, and the United States (Velazquez et al., 2020), limiting the precision with which the gap between Philippine capacity and international benchmarks can be quantitatively characterized; this limitation is acknowledged in the gaps section and reflected in the conceptual rather than operational framing of the paper's framework proposal. Finally, the cross-disciplinary scope of the review — spanning marine geology, caldera physics, seismology, volcanology, and hazard communication — introduces the risk that treatment of any single domain may lack the depth that a domain-specialist review would provide. This risk is partially mitigated by the paper's deliberate orientation toward analytical synthesis rather than comprehensive coverage within individual domains, and by its explicit reliance on authoritative domain-specific reviews where available.

4. Analytical Synthesis

4.1 The Scientific Status of Apolaki: Evidence, Verification Gap, and the Divergence from Popular Narrative

The analytical evaluation of the Apolaki Caldera hypothesis, conducted against the diagnostic criteria established in the caldera science literature and the methodological record of the Barretto, Wood, and Milsom study, yields a finding that is both affirmative and cautionary. The hypothesis is scientifically credible. It is not scientifically confirmed. And the popular narrative that has circulated in Philippine public discourse for decades is neither an accurate rendering of the hypothesis nor a reasonable extrapolation from it.

The affirmative dimension of this finding rests on the internal coherence of the morphostructural argument advanced by Barretto and colleagues. The multibeam bathymetric data reveal a circular depression of approximately 150 kilometers diameter at the crest of the Philippine Rise, bounded by a broken rim structure and containing intra-caldera benches and a central block morphologically consistent with a resurgent uplift (Barretto et al., 2019; Mitchell, 2001). These features, evaluated against the diagnostic literature on caldera morphology, constitute a credible multi-indicator case for a collapse caldera interpretation (Aguirre-Díaz et al., 2021; Barretto et al., 2019). The convergence of bathymetric and gravimetric evidence within a geologically coherent LIP framework — where the broader volcanic context independently supports the occurrence of large-volume magmatic activity in the Eocene — strengthens the hypothesis beyond what either data type would support in isolation (Barretto et al., 2019). The caldera science literature affirms that this level of morphostructural convergence is sufficient to constitute a publishable, peer-reviewed hypothesis, and the scientific community's engagement with the Apolaki naming proposal indicates that the evidence has been judged credible enough to merit formal consideration.

The cautionary dimension, however, is equally clear. The caldera science literature establishes that at diameters approaching 150 kilometers, the diagnostic confidence of morphology-and-gravity evidence is substantially reduced relative to its reliability at more modest scales (Mouginis-Mark & Rowland, 2001; Roche et al., 2000). The scaling laws and morphological expectations derived from well-documented terrestrial and shallow-marine calderas do not translate unambiguously to structures of this magnitude, and the submarine setting introduces compounding interpretive challenges from tens of millions of years of subsidence, erosion, mass wasting, and tectonic overprinting (Ribó et al., 2025; Verolino et al., 2023). The ring-fault architecture that would constitute the most structurally definitive evidence of piston or piecemeal collapse has not been resolved through seismic reflection profiling (Barretto et al., 2019; Sacchi et al., 2019). The geochemical and geochronological fingerprinting that would distinguish caldera-wall materials from resurgent intrusive products and bracket collapse timing has not been published (Aguirre-Díaz et al., 2021; Forni et al., 2023). The alternative explanations — composite tectonic subsidence, structural warping, or volcanic plateau collapse without a discrete ring-fault mechanism — have not been eliminated (Barretto et al., 2019). The scientific status of Apolaki is therefore that of a well-argued, frontier hypothesis awaiting the next generation of evidence, not a confirmed geological feature whose properties can be cited as established fact.

The divergence between this scientific status and the popular narrative is analytically striking. The popular version of the claim — that the Philippines sits inside or atop the world's largest active underwater caldera — distorts the hypothesis across every material dimension. Regarding location, the popular version implies that the structure underlies the inhabited archipelago; the actual proposed structure is located approximately 250 kilometers offshore in deep oceanic crust (Barretto et al., 2019). Regarding age, the popular version implies current or latent activity; the actual structure's most recently constrained volcanic activity dates to the Eocene–Oligocene, approximately 26 to 48 million years ago (Barretto et al., 2019). Regarding scientific standing, the popular version treats the claim as established fact; the actual hypothesis is provisional, and its formal naming remains under review. Regarding hazard, the popular version implies existential threat; the published record contains no basis for any active volcanic hazard attribution (Verolino et al., 2023). This degree of divergence between a scientific hypothesis and its popular rendering is not unusual in the history of public science communication, but its persistence over several decades in the Philippine context — and the absence of a sustained, accessible, scholarly corrective — represents a specific failure of the science communication infrastructure that this paper addresses (Irawan et al., 2021; Navarro & McKinnon, 2020).

The analytical implication of this finding is not that the Apolaki hypothesis is unimportant. It is that its importance lies precisely where the popular narrative obscures it: in the frontier geoscience questions it raises about submarine LIP evolution, caldera-scale morphogenesis in oceanic plateau settings, and the undercharacterized geology of the Philippine marine margin. These are legitimate and consequential scientific questions. Their pursuit requires the targeted ocean-floor investigation program that the literature identifies — dense seismic profiling, ROV and drilling-based rock sampling, and high-precision geochronology — and the institutional and international partnerships that would make such a program feasible in the Philippine EEZ context. The popular myth, paradoxically, both inflates the significance of the structure beyond what the evidence supports and deflects attention from the serious scientific work that the evidence genuinely motivates.

4.2 Philippine Compounding Geohazard Exposure: A Science-Grounded Characterization

The second analytical finding concerns the accurate characterization of Philippine geohazard exposure, independent of the Apolaki question. The synthesis of the Philippine tectonics, seismicity, and volcanism literature produces a characterization that is, in several respects, more analytically challenging than the popular caldera narrative — not because it is more dramatic, but because it is more verifiably consequential and more operationally demanding.

The Philippines is bounded on both its eastern and western margins by active subduction systems (Ramirez et al., 2022). The Manila Trench, the Negros Trench, the Sulu Trench, and the Cotabato Trench accommodate westward subduction along the western margin, while the Philippine Trench and East Luzon Trough accommodate eastward subduction along the eastern margin. This configuration produces what the tectonic literature characterizes as a doubly vergent subduction system converging on a relatively narrow crustal belt — the Philippine Mobile Belt — generating a stress regime of exceptional complexity that drives high seismicity rates, sustained crustal deformation, and persistent arc volcanism simultaneously (Galgana et al., 2007; Jin et al., 2025). The Philippine Fault Zone, extending approximately 1,200 kilometers along the archipelago as a left-lateral strike-slip structure, accommodates differential plate motion within this belt and represents one of the most seismically productive fault systems in Southeast Asia (Maxwell et al., 2021).

The hazard significance of this tectonic configuration is not merely academic. The West Valley Fault, a segment of the broader Valley Fault System traversing metropolitan Manila, is paleoseismically documented as capable of producing earthquakes of magnitude 7.2 to 7.6, with a recurrence interval estimated at 400 to 600 years and a most recent major rupture dated to approximately 1658 (Nelson, 2000; Rimando et al., 2022). The elapsed time since that rupture — now approaching 370 years — places the fault within a recurrence window that warrants urgent preparedness attention. Scenario modeling for a West Valley Fault rupture event projects outcomes on a scale that would constitute a regional catastrophe: large numbers of casualties concentrated in a densely urbanized corridor, widespread structural collapse in a building stock substantially non-compliant with modern seismic standards, and infrastructure disruption cascading across transportation, water, power, and health systems serving the largest metropolitan population in the country.

The volcanic hazard dimension is inherently severe. The 24 active volcanoes classified by PHIVOLCS include systems whose recent eruptive histories document a wide spectrum of hazard types and magnitudes (Lim et al., 2022). Taal's January 2020 phreatomagmatic eruption provided a contemporary demonstration of the speed and geographic reach of volcanic crisis in the Philippine context — eruption column development, ash dispersal affecting a multi-province area, and the evacuation of hundreds of thousands of residents occurred within hours of initial escalation, testing the limits of existing alert and communication systems. The Pinatubo eruption of 1991, while preceded by effective short-term forecasting that enabled life-saving evacuations, produced lahar systems whose secondary hazard footprint extended across Central Luzon for more than a decade, demonstrating the temporal depth of volcanic impact that single-event hazard frameworks fail to capture. These are not hypothetical scenarios; they are documented events whose recurrence probabilities, given the activity records of the relevant volcanic systems, are non-negligible on decadal timescales.

The compounding and cascading character of Philippine geohazard exposure constitutes the most analytically important finding of this characterization exercise. Single-hazard frameworks — whether focused on seismicity, volcanic eruption, tsunami, or hydrometeorological events — systematically underestimate the actual risk profile because they do not account for the interactions among hazard systems that the Philippine geologic and meteorological environment routinely produces (Kongsager, 2019; Liu et al., 2016). Earthquake-triggered submarine slides generating near-field tsunamis (Nawanao & Ramos, 2023; Ramirez et al., 2022), volcanic eruptions generating lahar systems activated by typhoon-driven rainfall in subsequent seasons (Rodolfo et al., 2019), seismic damage to infrastructure in zones simultaneously exposed to volcanic ash fall and lahar inundation (Jenkins et al., 2015; Manville et al., 2000): these are historically documented event sequences, not exotic scenarios. The implication for research and preparedness architecture is that the Philippines requires not merely adequate capacity in each individual hazard domain but an integrated, multi-hazard situational awareness framework capable of recognizing and responding to the interaction dynamics among hazard systems — a level of institutional and technical integration that the current literature does not document as having been achieved (Cadiz, 2018; Nuevas et al., 2024).

4.3 The Gap Between Philippine Early Warning Capacity and International Benchmarks

The third analytical finding concerns the comparative assessment of Philippine earthquake early warning and volcano monitoring infrastructure against the performance benchmarks established by international systems. This assessment identifies a structural gap that is neither trivial nor insurmountable but has specific, documentable characteristics.

The international EEW literature presents a consistent set of performance parameters that distinguish operationally mature systems from developmental ones (Velazquez et al., 2020). Mature systems — Japan's JMA EEW, Mexico's SASMEX, the United States ShakeAlert, and Taiwan's CWA system — share several defining characteristics: published, regularly updated performance metrics including lead-time distributions disaggregated by source-receiver geometry; defined and publicly documented alerting thresholds calibrated to balance false-alarm rates against missed-event rates; tiered alert products serving differentiated end-user communities; offshore or near-trench sensing augmentation addressing the specific detection challenges posed by subduction-zone ruptures; and formal performance evaluation mechanisms including independent review (Kodera et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021; Zollo et al., 2024). These characteristics collectively define what the EEW literature identifies as a performance-accountable architecture — a system with transparent behavior, documented limitations, and improvement guided by systematic evaluation rather than ad hoc response.

The Philippine EEW literature, by contrast, does not present evidence of performance-accountable architecture at this level. PHIVOLCS operates a seismic monitoring network whose density and geographic distribution represent a credible foundation for EEW development (Melosantos et al., 2015), and the REDAS software platform and associated scenario tools demonstrate institutional engagement with computational earthquake hazard assessment (Atando & Sugawara, 2023; Vera et al., 2018). However, the published literature does not contain the performance metric documentation — specifically, lead-time distributions, false-alarm rates, and missed-event rates for the existing network under defined alerting criteria — that would allow quantitative comparison with international benchmarks. The offshore sensing gap is particularly consequential: the Philippine Trench and East Luzon Trough, which represent the primary sources of potential megathrust ruptures capable of generating both destructive shaking and near-field tsunamis affecting eastern Philippine coastlines, are not instrumented with the cabled ocean-bottom sensor arrays (Lior et al., 2022) that the Japanese experience demonstrates to be transformative for subduction-zone EEW performance (Mulia & Satake, 2021). The lead times achievable from land-only sensor networks for near-trench events originating along the eastern Philippine margin are, by the physical constraints of the problem, substantially inferior to what offshore sensing would provide.

The volcano monitoring gap has a somewhat different character. PHIVOLCS maintains multi-parameter monitoring networks at priority volcanic systems including Taal and Mayon (Hidayat et al., 2011; Zlotnicki et al., 2018), and its public alert-level framework has been operationally tested in multiple recent episodes of volcanic unrest (Martinez-Villegas et al., 2022). The 2020 Taal eruption response demonstrated that the foundational elements of a multi-parameter alert system are in place and functional (Martinez-Villegas et al., 2022; Pérez et al., 2022). However, the literature does not include published performance evaluations of the Philippine volcano monitoring system applying the standard metrics used in the international literature — specifically, systematic analysis of alert escalation lead times relative to eruptive onset, false-alarm and missed-alarm rates across historical unrest episodes, and community compliance rates disaggregated by alert level and population group (Fearnley & Beaven, 2018; Winson et al., 2014). Without this performance documentation, it is not possible to assess with precision how the Philippine system compares with the USGS, GNS Science, or INGV frameworks that the international literature presents as benchmarks. The governance literature's finding — that the primary gap in resource-constrained volcanic monitoring systems is typically less in basic technical capacity than in the integration, communication, and performance-accountability dimensions (Pallister et al., 2019; Solana & Kilburn, 2022) — is consistent with what the Philippine literature suggests, but this conclusion remains inferential rather than empirically demonstrated in the Philippine case.

4.4 Toward a Conceptual Framework for Integrated Geohazard Early Warning in the Philippines

The fourth analytical finding is synthetic and forward-looking: drawing on the preceding three findings and the international benchmark literature, this section proposes the conceptual architecture of a minimum viable integrated early warning framework suited to Philippine conditions. This framework is offered at the level of conceptual specification, not operational engineering; its purpose is to define the structural components and institutional logic of an integrated system, not to specify sensor placements, communication protocols, or procurement parameters.

The framework rests on four interdependent pillars. The first is offshore sensing augmentation along the eastern Philippine subduction margin. The Japanese S-net experience provides the clearest available evidence that cabled ocean-bottom seismometer arrays deployed in near-trench positions represent the most impactful investment available for improving EEW lead times in subduction-zone-dominated hazard settings (Kodera et al., 2021; Mulia & Satake, 2021). In the Philippines, the most consequential application of this principle would be along the Philippine Trench and East Luzon Trough, where megathrust rupture scenarios capable of generating both severe shaking and near-field tsunamis affecting the eastern coastlines of Luzon, Samar, and Leyte represent the most undermonitored component of the national hazard portfolio (Ramos et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2024). A phased offshore sensing program — beginning with strategically placed ocean-bottom seismometers at the most critical source-receiver geometries relative to major population centers and scaling toward a cabled array architecture as institutional and financial capacity permits — would close the most consequential gap between current Philippine EEW capacity and the international standard.

The second pillar is performance-accountable alerting threshold definition. International EEW systems derive their operational credibility and capacity for systematic improvement from the explicit, published definition of alerting thresholds — the magnitude, distance, and ground-motion criteria that trigger alert issuance — and from regular, transparent reporting of performance against those thresholds (Colombelli et al., 2025; Vinnell et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2021). Adopting this practice in the Philippine context would require, at minimum, the formal definition of alerting criteria for the existing PHIVOLCS seismic network, the establishment of a performance monitoring protocol tracking lead-time distributions, false-alarm rates, and missed-event rates on a regular reporting cycle, and the publication of these metrics in a form accessible to independent evaluation. This is primarily an institutional and governance commitment rather than a technical challenge; the analytical capacity to implement it is plausibly within PHIVOLCS's existing technical competence.

The third pillar is the integration of multi-parameter volcano monitoring with codified escalation criteria. The USGS Volcano Alert Level framework provides the most directly applicable model: a publicly communicated, multi-indicator alert system in which escalation criteria are explicitly defined in terms of observable parameter thresholds, and in which recommended actions are pre-specified for each alert level and each major user community (Fearnley & Beaven, 2018; Winson et al., 2014). For the Philippines, formalizing and publishing the escalation criteria that govern alert-level changes at priority volcanoes — Taal, Mayon, Kanlaon, Bulusan, and the Laguna caldera system — would represent a significant and achievable advance over the current state of public documentation, and would provide the basis for the performance evaluation and public trust management that the false-alarm fatigue literature identifies as critical to long-term alert system efficacy.

The fourth pillar is multi-hazard integration and public communication architecture. The cascading and compounding character of Philippine geohazard exposure implies that single-hazard alert systems — however well-designed individually — are insufficient for the actual risk landscape. An integrated framework requires coordination mechanisms among earthquake, volcanic, tsunami, and hydrometeorological warning systems that allow hazard interaction scenarios — most critically, earthquake-triggered tsunami and volcanic eruption-triggered lahar and ashfall cascades — to be recognized and communicated as compound events rather than as simultaneous single-hazard alerts competing for public attention. The social science literature on EEW and volcanic alert communication consistently identifies alert message clarity, consistency across agencies, and pre-established public action libraries as the communication design features most strongly associated with protective behavior compliance (Mileti & Sorensen, 1990). These features are achievable through institutional design and pre-event public engagement rather than through technological investment alone, and they represent the dimension of the integrated framework most directly accessible to improvement under current resource constraints.

5. Discussion Of Findings

The four analytical findings presented collectively advance an argument that moves through three distinct but interconnected registers: the correction of a scientific record, the grounding of a hazard characterization in verified evidence, and the identification of an institutional and architectural deficit that the hazard characterization makes urgent. This section interprets the significance of these findings in relation to each other and to the broader contexts — scientific, communicative, and governance — within which the paper situates its contribution.

The most immediate interpretive implication of the first finding concerns the sociology of scientific distortion in a high-stakes public context. The Apolaki narrative did not arise spontaneously, nor did it persist through mere credulity. It arose from a confluence of conditions recognized as structurally typical by the literature on science communication and public understanding of frontier science: a kernel of genuine scientific ambiguity that resists simple summary; a mythological and cultural infrastructure predisposed to narratives of hidden, subterranean power; a mass-media environment in which dramatic simplification outcompetes qualified accuracy; and an institutional science communication apparatus — whether PHIVOLCS, academic geology departments, or science journalism — that has not consistently prioritized the correction of well-circulated distortions as part of its public function (Bencard et al., 2022; Innocenti, 2023; Lüthje, 2015). The result is a popular geological myth that has persisted for at least five decades, survived multiple cycles of social media amplification, and continues to shape public intuitions about the nature of Philippine geologic risk in ways that are simultaneously inflated regarding the Apolaki question and, paradoxically, deflated on the genuinely severe hazards that the verified tectonic and volcanic literature documents. This finding suggests that the Philippine science communication infrastructure has a systematic gap at precisely the point where public intuitions about risk are formed — the interface between frontier scientific hypotheses and popular rendering — and that addressing this gap is a research and institutional priority independent of the specific content of any individual claim.

The second finding — that Philippine compounding geohazard exposure is independently extraordinary and documentably severe — carries interpretive weight that extends beyond the corrective function of the first. To demonstrate that the popular narrative overestimates Apolaki's hazard significance is not to argue that the Philippines is less hazardous than the public believes; it is to argue that the hazard is differently located, differently characterized, and differently demanding of institutional response than the popular version implies. The West Valley Fault recurrence data, evaluated in the context of Manila's urban exposure and building stock vulnerability, represent a hazard scenario with a significant probability within planning-relevant time horizons. The Taal eruption record, the Pinatubo lahar legacy, and the historical earthquake catalog of the Philippine Fault Zone together constitute an evidence base for national risk prioritization that does not require speculative amplification and that has been insufficiently translated into the sustained public and policy attention it warrants. The interpretive implication is that myth correction and hazard communication are not merely complementary tasks — they are the same task, approached from different directions. Replacing a scientifically unsupported dramatic narrative with an accurately characterized but equally serious evidence-based one requires the same institutional commitment to rigorous, accessible, and sustained public scientific communication.

The third finding — the structural gap between Philippine EEW capacity and international benchmarks — raises interpretive questions about the relationship between scientific capacity and institutional will. The international EEW literature does not suggest that performance-accountable early warning systems require resources or technical sophistication beyond what middle-income nations with credible scientific institutions can mobilize. Japan's system is world-leading in part because of the extraordinary scale of investment following the 2011 Tōhoku disaster (Witze, 2017), but Mexico's SASMEX (Velazquez et al., 2020) and Taiwan's CWA system (Pramono et al., 2023) demonstrate that operationally effective, publicly trusted EEW can be built and maintained with more modest resources. The primary constraint documented in the literature for systems at the Philippine level of institutional development is not financial or technical in the first instance; it is the organizational commitment to performance accountability — the willingness to define thresholds explicitly, report performance transparently, and subject system behavior to independent evaluation (Islam & Khan, 2022). This is a governance choice as much as a technical one. The interpretive implication is that the path from current Philippine EEW capacity to international benchmark performance runs primarily through institutional design and governance commitment, with targeted technical investments — most critically, offshore sensing augmentation — constituting necessary but not sufficient conditions for closing the gap.

The fourth finding — the conceptual framework for integrated early warning — is interpreted here not as a finished policy proposal but as a research agenda anchor. Each of the four pillars identified in Section 4.4 defines a specific set of empirical, technical, and governance questions whose investigation would produce the evidence base needed to translate the conceptual framework into operational specification. The offshore sensing pillar requires feasibility studies of sensor placement, power and communications infrastructure, and cost-benefit analysis calibrated to Philippine source-receiver geometries and population exposure distributions. The performance-accountable alerting threshold pillar requires historical performance reconstruction — applying proposed alerting criteria retrospectively to the existing earthquake catalog to generate baseline lead-time and false-alarm rate estimates before committing to operational thresholds. The volcano monitoring escalation criteria pillar requires a systematic review of historical PHIVOLCS alert-level changes against multi-parameter monitoring records, enabling the kind of empirical threshold calibration that the USGS and GNS Science frameworks rest upon. The multi-hazard integration pillar requires both technical coordination architecture and social science investigation of Philippine public alert response behavior — the false-alarm fatigue literature's findings cannot be assumed to transfer directly from the Japanese, Mexican, or New Zealand contexts in which they were generated without Philippine-specific empirical grounding.

Taken together, these interpretive implications converge on a single overarching conclusion: the Philippines' geohazard situation demands a substantially more integrated, performance-accountable, and publicly communicated science-and-warning infrastructure than the current literature documents as existing. The Apolaki question, properly resolved, is a component of this larger imperative — not because the structure is hazardous, but because the research program required to resolve it is the same one required to close the most consequential gap in the national offshore hazard monitoring portfolio. The popular myth has inadvertently pointed toward a real and urgent scientific priority while simultaneously obscuring its nature and location in ways that have impeded rather than advanced the necessary institutional response.

6. Conclusions And Recommendations

This paper set out to accomplish four objectives: to evaluate the Apolaki Caldera hypothesis against current scientific evidence; to characterize Philippine compounding geohazard exposure accurately; to assess the gap between Philippine early warning capacity and international benchmarks; and to propose, in conceptual terms, an integrated early warning framework suited to Philippine conditions. The analysis supports the following principal conclusions.

The Apolaki Caldera hypothesis is a scientifically credible but unverified morphological interpretation of a large submarine structure on the crest of the Philippine Rise (Barretto et al., 2019). The morphostructural and gravimetric evidence advanced by Barretto, Wood, and Milsom constitutes a coherent and publication-worthy scientific case, situated within an independently established Large Igneous Province framework that provides appropriate geologic context. The structure is ancient, extinct, and located approximately 250 kilometers offshore in deep oceanic crust; it poses no documented active volcanic hazard to the Philippine population. This paper provides a scholarly corrective to the popular misrepresentation of this hypothesis in a publication-oriented form.

The Philippines' genuine geohazard exposure is independently severe and requires no amplification from unverified offshore structures to justify the most rigorous national preparedness response. The documented hazard profile — doubly vergent subduction systems, a 1,200-kilometer active strike-slip fault zone, 24 classified active volcanoes, paleoseismically constrained recurrence data for the West Valley Fault system, and a historical record of cascading and compounding hazard events — constitutes an evidence base for national risk prioritization whose urgency is grounded in verified science. The compounding character of this exposure means that single-hazard assessment and response frameworks are structurally inadequate to the actual risk landscape, and that integrated, multi-hazard situational awareness is not an aspirational enhancement but a minimum functional requirement.

The structural gap between Philippine EEW and volcano monitoring capacity and the performance benchmarks established by Japan, Mexico, Taiwan, and the United States is real, documentable, and attributable primarily to deficits in performance accountability, offshore sensing coverage, codified alerting threshold definition, and multi-hazard integration architecture (Aguirre-Ayerbe et al., 2020) — not to an absence of foundational institutional capacity. PHIVOLCS and DOST represent credible scientific institutions with the technical foundation required to support a substantially more advanced early warning architecture than the current literature documents (Newhall, 2021). The primary barriers to closing the gap are institutional and governance commitments rather than irreducible resource constraints (Domingo & Manejar, 2019).

On the basis of these conclusions, this paper advances the following recommendations, directed at three audiences.

For the Philippine geoscience research community, the priority recommendation is the design and pursuit of a targeted ocean-floor investigation program on the Philippine Rise that would provide the independent geophysical and geochemical verification necessary to advance or refute the Apolaki Caldera hypothesis. This program should include dense seismic reflection profiling for resolving ring-fault architecture and caldera-floor stratigraphy, ROV-based and drilling-assisted rock sampling across morphologically interpreted structural units, and high-precision geochronology for bracketing collapse timing and post-collapse magmatism. This investigation is warranted on its scientific merits as a frontier marine geoscience question of potentially global significance, and it carries the dual-use value of strengthening the offshore geophysical characterization of the Philippine Rise margin in ways that directly inform tsunami pathway modeling and EEW sensor placement optimization.

For PHIVOLCS, DOST, and Philippine national disaster risk reduction agencies, three operational recommendations follow from the analysis. First, the formal definition and public documentation of EEW alerting thresholds — magnitude, distance, and ground-motion criteria — for the existing seismic network, accompanied by the establishment of a regular performance reporting cycle covering lead-time distributions, false-alarm rates, and missed-event rates, would represent the most immediately achievable and institutionally significant step toward performance-accountable early warning architecture. Second, a phased offshore sensing program along the Philippine Trench and East Luzon Trough, beginning with strategically positioned ocean-bottom seismometers at source-receiver geometries most consequential for major eastern Philippine population centers, should be scoped, costed, and advanced through international partnership mechanisms as a medium-term institutional priority. Third, the codification and public documentation of multi-parameter escalation criteria for priority volcanic systems — defining the observable parameter thresholds that govern alert-level changes at Taal, Mayon, Kanlaon, Bulusan, and the Laguna caldera system — would substantially strengthen both the operational consistency of volcanic alert management and the public trust architecture on which alert compliance depends.

For science communicators, science journalists, and academic institutions engaged with Philippine public audiences, the recommendation is the development of sustained, accessible, and institutionally supported communication programs that address the gap between frontier scientific hypotheses and their popular rendering — using the Apolaki case as a model of how that gap can be bridged with analytical rigor and public accessibility simultaneously. The persistence of the underwater caldera myth is not a story about public credulity; it is a story about the absence of credible, sustained, and authoritative communication at the science-public interface on topics of genuine geologic consequence. Addressing that absence is as much a scientific responsibility as the production of primary research.

The Philippines is situated in one of the most geohazard-prone positions on Earth (Chong et al., 2025; Iuchi et al., 2018). The scientific record on that position is clear, evidence-grounded, and consequential. What has been insufficiently clear, until now, is how that record differs from the myths that have substituted for it — and what the record actually demands.

References

Acocella, V., Cifelli, F., & Funiciello, R. (2000). Analogue models of collapse calderas and resurgent domes. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 104, 81. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0377-0273(00)00201-8

Aguirre-Ayerbe, I., Merino, M. J., Aye, S. L., Dissanayake, R., Shadiya, F., & Lopez, C. (2020). An evaluation of availability and adequacy of Multi-Hazard Early Warning Systems in Asian countries: A baseline study. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 49, 101749.

Aguirre-Díaz, G. J., Tristán-González, M., Gutiérrez-Palomares, I., Martí, J., López-Martínez, M., Labarthe-Hernández, G., & Nieto-Obregón, J. (2021). Graben type calderas: The Bolaños case, Sierra Madre Occidental, Mexico. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 417, 107315.

Alcántara-Ayala, I., Lucatello, S., & Rodríguez-Velázquez, D. (2023). Can we pull resilience from the rubble? Experiencing earthquakes in Mexico City. Natural Hazards, 120(10), 9163.

Arpa, M. B., Patiño, L., & Vogel, T. A. (2008). The basaltic to trachydacitic upper Diliman Tuff in Manila: Petrogenesis and comparison with deposits from Taal and Laguna Calderas. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 177(4), 1020.

Atando, R. B., & Sugawara, D. (2023). Differences in the earthquake preparedness of low- and high-income countries. Natural Hazards, 120(2), 1951.

Aurelio, M., Manahan, A., Catugas, S. D., Palma, M. D., & Calma, A. M. (2025). Beware quiet segments of the Philippine Fault. Temblor. https://doi.org/10.32858/temblor.364

Barretto, J. A. L., Wood, R., & Milsom, J. (2019). Benham Rise unveiled: Morphology and structure of an Eocene large igneous province in the West Philippine Basin. Marine Geology, 419, 106052.

Bato, M. G. (2018). Towards assimilation of deformation measurements in volcanology [Doctoral dissertation]. HAL.

Becker, J., Potter, S., Vinnell, L. J., Nakayachi, K., McBride, S. K., & Johnston, D. (2020). Earthquake early warning in Aotearoa New Zealand: A survey of public perspectives. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 7(1).

Bencard, A., Lillemose, J., & Bjerregaard, M. K. (2022). The world is in you: Documentation, reflections, lessons. Technical University of Denmark.

Biass, S., Reyes-Hardy, M.-P., Gregg, C. E., Maio, L. S. D., Domínguez, L., Frischknecht, C., Bonadonna, C., & Pérez, N. M. (2024). The spatiotemporal evolution of compound impacts from lava flow and tephra fallout on buildings. Bulletin of Volcanology, 86(2).

Boué, A. (2015). Data mining and volcanic eruption forecasting [Doctoral dissertation]. HAL.

Bretton, R., Ciolli, S., Cristiani, C., Gottsmann, J., Christie, R. C., & Aspinall, W. (2018). Volcanic unrest simulation exercises: Checklists and guidance notes. In Advances in volcanology (p. 271). Springer.

Cadiz, N. R. (2018). UP NOAH in building resilient Philippines: Multi-hazard and risk mapping for the future. Procedia Engineering, 212, 1018.

Casadevall, T. J., Tormey, D., & Roberts, J. (2019). World Heritage Volcanoes: Classification, gap analysis, and recommendations for future listings. IUCN.

Chandrakumar, C., Prasanna, R., Stephens, M., & Tan, M. L. (2022). Earthquake early warning systems based on low-cost ground motion sensors: A systematic literature review. Frontiers in Sensors, 3.

Chong, R. M. B., Tangunan, D., Toyado, D. M., & Elegado, A. F. K. (2025). Evolving disaster resilience in the Philippines. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 121, 105415.

Chovanec, D., Kollár, B., Halúsková, B., Kubás, J., Pawęska, M., & Ristvej, J. (2025). A component-based approach to early warning systems: A theoretical model. Applied Sciences, 15(6), 3218.

Cochran, E. S., Aagaard, B., Allen, R. M., et al. (2018). Research to improve ShakeAlert earthquake early warning products and their utility. USGS Open-File Report 2018–1131.

Cole, J., Milner, D. M., & Spinks, K. D. (2004). Calderas and caldera structures: A review. Earth-Science Reviews, 69, 1.

Colombelli, S., Zollo, A., Carotenuto, F., et al. (2025). The first earthquake early warning system for the high-speed railway in Italy. EGUsphere.

DeGroot, R. M., McBride, S. K., Vinci, M., et al. (2025). ShakeAlert® — Communication, education, outreach and technical engagement strategic vision. USGS Circular 1561.

Dempsey, D., Kempa-Liehr, A. W., Ardid, A., et al. (2022). Evaluation of short-term probabilistic eruption forecasting at Whakaari, New Zealand. Bulletin of Volcanology, 84(10).

Dohmen, K., Blum, P., Braun, A., & Fernández-Steeger, T. M. (2025). Landslide-triggered tsunamis — A review. Natural Hazards, 121(19), 22341.

Domingo, S., & Manejar, A. J. (2019). Disaster preparedness and local governance in the Philippines. Philippine Institute for Development Studies.

Donovan, A., & Oppenheimer, C. (2019). Volcanoes on borders: A scientific and (geo)political challenge. Bulletin of Volcanology, 81(5).

Edmonds, M., Oppenheimer, C., Pyle, D. M., Herd, R. A., & Thompson, G. M. (2003). SO2 emissions from Soufrière Hills Volcano and their relationship to conduit permeability. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 124, 23.

Elias, T., Kern, C., Horton, K. A., Sutton, A. J., & Garbeil, H. (2018). Measuring SO2 emission rates at Kīlauea Volcano, Hawaii. Frontiers in Earth Science, 6.

Ende, M. van den, Trabattoni, A., Baillet, M., & Rivet, D. (2024). An analysis of the dynamic range of Distributed Acoustic Sensing for Earthquake Early Warning. EarthArXiv.

Fearnley, C. J., & Beaven, S. (2018). Volcano alert level systems: Managing the challenges of effective volcanic crisis communication. Bulletin of Volcanology, 80(5).

Fearnley, C. J., Bird, D. K., Haynes, K., McGuire, W., & Jolly, G. (Eds.). (2018). Observing the volcano world. Springer.

Flood, T. P., Vogel, T. A., Arpa, M. B., Patino, L. C., Cantane, S. G., & Arcilla, C. A. (2004). Silicic magmas erupted from the Laguna de Bay Caldera, Macolod Corridor, Luzon, Philippines. AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts.

Forni, F., Phua, M., Bernard, O., et al. (2023). Remobilization and eruption of an upper crustal cumulate mush at the Singkut caldera (North Sumatra, Indonesia). Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 445, 107971.

Fouquet, Y., Pelleter, E., Konn, C., et al. (2018). Volcanic and hydrothermal processes in submarine calderas: The Kulo Lasi example (SW Pacific). Ore Geology Reviews, 99, 314.

Gajigan, A. P., Yñiguez, A. T., Villanoy, C., et al. (2018). Diversity and community structure of marine microbes around the Benham Rise underwater plateau. PeerJ, 6.

Galetto, F., Acocella, V., & Caricchi, L. (2017). Caldera resurgence driven by magma viscosity contrasts. Nature Communications, 8(1).

Galgana, G. A., Hamburger, M., McCaffrey, R. J., Bacolcol, T., & Aurelio, M. (2007). Modeling the Philippine Mobile Belt. AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts.

Galina, N. A., & Shapiro, N. M. (2024). Source mechanisms of deep long period earthquakes beneath the Klyuchevskoy volcanic group (Kamchatka, Russia). Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 448, 108049.

Geshi, N. (2018). Structure and development of collapse calderas. Journal of Geography (Chigaku Zasshi), 127(2), 175.

Geyer, A., & Martí, J. (2008). The new worldwide collapse caldera database (CCDB). Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 175(3), 334.

Geyer, A., & Martí, J. (2014). A short review of our current understanding of the development of ring faults during collapse caldera formation. Frontiers in Earth Science, 2.

Given, D. D., Cochran, E. S., Heaton, T. H., Hauksson, E., Allen, R., Hellweg, P., Vidale, J. E., & Bodin, P. (2014). Technical implementation plan for the ShakeAlert production system. USGS Open-File Report 2014–1097.

Given, D. D., Allen, R. M., Baltay, A., et al. (2018). Revised technical implementation plan for the ShakeAlert system. USGS Open-File Report 2018–1155.

Global volcanic hazards and risk. (2016). Choice Reviews Online, 53(8), 53.

Got, J., Amitrano, D., Stefanou, I., Brothelande, É., & Peltier, A. (2019). Damage and strain localization around a pressurized shallow-level magma reservoir. Journal of Geophysical Research Solid Earth, 124(2), 1443.

Gottsmann, J., Komorowski, J., & Barclay, J. (2017). Volcanic unrest and pre-eruptive processes: A hazard and risk perspective. In Advances in volcanology. Springer.

Hasegawa, T., Nakagawa, M., Kamiyama, H., & Yamamoto, A. (2022). Geological, geophysical, and geochemical constraints on the time-space evolution of Akan composite caldera, Hokkaido, Japan. Frontiers in Earth Science, 10.

Hayer, C., Burton, M., Ferrazzini, V., Esse, B., & Muro, A. D. (2023). Unusually high SO2 emissions from Piton de la Fournaise volcano during the April 2020 eruption. Bulletin of Volcanology, 85(4).

Hayes, G. P., Meyers, E. K., Dewey, J. W., et al. (2017). Tectonic summaries of magnitude 7 and greater earthquakes from 2000 to 2015. USGS Open-File Report 2016–1192.

Hidayat, D., Baloloy, A. V., Laguerta, E. P., Valerio, R., & Marcial, S. S. (2011). Developing geophysical monitoring at Mayon volcano. AGU Fall Meeting.

Howe, T. M., Christopher, T., Moune, S., Tuffen, H., & Schiavi, F. (2025). Melt inclusion bubbles provide new insights into crystallisation depths at Soufrière Hills Volcano. Frontiers in Earth Science, 12.

Hutchings, S. J., & Mooney, W. D. (2024). Seismotectonics of the Philippine and Taiwan subduction systems and implications for seismic hazards. Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems, 25(6).

Innocenti, F. D. (2023). The difficult relationship between science, citizen science, and mass communication. Frontiers in Sustainability, 4.

Irawan, D. E., Abraham, J., Tennant, J., & Pourret, O. (2021). The need for a new set of measures to assess the impact of research in earth sciences in Indonesia. European Science Editing, 47.

Isaia, R., Troiano, A., Giuseppe, M. G. D., et al. (2025). 3D magnetotelluric imaging of a transcrustal magma system beneath the Campi Flegrei caldera, southern Italy. Communications Earth & Environment, 6(1).

Iuchi, K., Jibiki, Y., Solidum, R. U., & Santiago, R. (2018). Natural hazards governance in the Philippines. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Natural Hazard Science.

Jenkins, S. F., Wilson, T., Magill, C., et al. (2015). Volcanic ash fall hazard and risk. In Cambridge University Press eBooks. Cambridge University Press.

Jin, L., Liu, J., Li, S., Suo, Y., Wang, P., & Zhu, J. (2025). Gravity-derived crustal thickness heterogeneity in the Philippine Archipelago. Tectonophysics, 921, 231059.

Jousset, P., Currenti, G., Murphy, S., et al. (2024). Fiber optic sensing for volcano monitoring and imaging volcanic processes. EarthArXiv.

Kaneda, Y. (2014). DONET: A real-time monitoring system for megathrust earthquakes and tsunamis around southwestern Japan. Oceanography, 27(2), 103.

Kodera, Y., Hayashimoto, N., Tamaribuchi, K., et al. (2021). Developments of the nationwide earthquake early warning system in Japan after the 2011 Mw9.0 Tohoku-Oki earthquake. Frontiers in Earth Science, 9.

Kongsager, R. (2019). Speed bump or accelerator: How will climate change affect anthropogenic hazards? Roskilde University.

Kutcher, A. M., & LeBaron, V. (2022). A simple guide for completing an integrative review. Journal of Professional Nursing, 40, 13.

Lara, P., Tavera, H., Blétery, Q., Ampuero, J., Inza, A., Portugal, D., Orihuela, B., & Meza, F. (2024). Implementation of the Peruvian earthquake early warning system. EarthArXiv.

Lim, H. R., Lim, M. B., & Camposano, R. L. E. (2022). Modeling evacuation behavior of households affected by the eruption of Taal volcano. Transportation Research Part D, 109, 103393.

Lior, I., Rivet, D., Ampuero, J., et al. (2022). Harnessing distributed acoustic sensing for earthquake early warning: Magnitude estimation and ground motion prediction. EarthArXiv.

Liu, B., Siu, Y. L., & Mitchell, G. (2016). Hazard interaction analysis for multi-hazard risk assessment. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 16(2), 629.

Lonkila, A., & Kaljonen, M. (2021). Promises of meat and milk alternatives: An integrative literature review. Agriculture and Human Values, 38(3), 625.

Loughlin, S., Brown, S., Jenkins, S. F., et al. (2015). Global volcanic hazards and risk. Cambridge University Press.

Lowenstern, J. B. (2014). Protocols for geologic hazards response by the Yellowstone Volcano Observatory. USGS Circular 1351.

Lowenstern, J. B., Ewert, J. W., & Lockhart, A. B. (2021). Strengthening local volcano observatories through global collaborations. Bulletin of Volcanology, 84(1).

Lüthje, C. (2015). Science communication between risk and (un)certainty. Journal of Science Communication, 14(1).

Lux, A., Smith, D. E., Böse, M., et al. (2024). Status and performance of the ShakeAlert earthquake early warning system: 2019–2023. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 114(6), 3041.

MacQueen, P., Gottsmann, J., Pritchard, M. E., et al. (2021). Dissecting a zombie: Joint analysis of density and resistivity models at Uturuncu Volcano, Bolivia. Frontiers in Earth Science, 9.

Manville, V., Johnston, D., Stammers, S., & Scott, B. J. (2000). Comparative preparedness in New Zealand and the Philippines for volcanic eruptions. Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, 33(4), 445.

Marchezini, V. (2020). "What is a sociologist doing here?" People-centered approaches in warning implementation. International Journal of Disaster Risk Science, 11(2), 218.

Martí, J. (2019). Las Cañadas caldera, Tenerife, Canary Islands: A review, or the end of a long volcanological controversy. Earth-Science Reviews, 196, 102889.

Martinez-Villegas, M. M., Solidum, R. U., Saludadez, J. A., Pidlaoan, A. C., & Lamela, R. C. (2021). Moving for safety: A qualitative analysis of evacuation response during the 2014 Mayon Volcano eruption. Journal of Applied Volcanology, 10(1).

Martinez-Villegas, M. M., Reniva, P. D., Sanico, L. R. D., et al. (2022). Perspectives on the 12 January 2020 Taal Volcano eruption. Frontiers in Earth Science, 10.

Massin, F., Strauch, W., Andrews, C. J., Böse, M., & Ramírez, J. (2018). Building EEW in Nicaragua: Performance and perspectives. Revista Geográfica de América Central, 89.

Massiot, C., Adam, L., Boyd, E. S., et al. (2024). CALDERA: A scientific drilling concept at Okataina Volcanic Centre, Aotearoa New Zealand. Scientific Drilling, 33(1), 67.

Matoza, R. S., & Roman, D. C. (2022). One hundred years of advances in volcano seismology and acoustics. Bulletin of Volcanology, 84(9).

Maxwell, K., Westphal, H., & Rovere, A. (2021). A standardized database of Last Interglacial sea-level indicators in Southeast Asia. Earth System Science Data, 13(9), 4313.

McGuire, J. J., Ulberg, C. W., Lux, A., et al. (2025). ShakeAlert® Version 3: Expected performance in large earthquakes. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 115(2), 533.

Medel, K. B., Kousar, R., & Masood, T. (2020). A collaboration–resilience framework for disaster management supply networks: A case study of the Philippines. Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management, 10(4), 509.

Melosantos, A. A., Soriano, K. V. C., Alcones, P. C. M., et al. (2015). Performance of broadband seismic network of the Philippines. Journal of Disaster Research, 10(1), 8.

Michon, L., Villeneuve, N., Catry, T., & Merle, O. (2008). How summit calderas collapse on basaltic volcanoes. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 184, 138.

Mileti, D. S., & Sorensen, J. H. (1990). Communication of emergency public warnings: A social science perspective. U.S. Department of Energy.

Milsom, J. (2023). East Asian analogues for early Alpine orogenesis. Swiss Journal of Geosciences, 116(1).

Minson, S. E., Baltay, A., Cochran, E. S., et al. (2019). The limits of earthquake early warning accuracy and best alerting strategy. Scientific Reports, 9(1).

Minson, S. E., Cochran, E. S., Saunders, J. K., et al. (2022). What to expect when you are expecting earthquake early warning. Geophysical Journal International, 231(2), 1386.

Minson, S. E., Cochran, E. S., Wu, S., & Noda, S. (2021). A framework for evaluating earthquake early warning for an infrastructure network. Frontiers in Earth Science, 9.

Mitchell, N. C. (2001). Transition from circular to stellate forms of submarine volcanoes. Journal of Geophysical Research, 106, 1987.

Mori, N., Satake, K., Cox, D. T., et al. (2022). Giant tsunami monitoring, early warning and hazard assessment. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 3(9), 557.

Mouginis-Mark, P. J., & Rowland, S. K. (2001). The geomorphology of planetary calderas. Geomorphology, 37, 201.

Mulia, I. E., & Satake, K. (2021). Synthetic analysis of the efficacy of the S-net system in tsunami forecasting. Earth Planets and Space, 73(1).

Muro, A. D., Schwarzlmueller, F., Kueppers, U., Heap, M. J., & Dingwell, D. B. (2021). Petrophysical characterisation of volcanic ejecta. Volcanica, 4(1), 41.

Nanjo, K. Z., Yamamoto, Y., Ariyoshi, K., et al. (2023). Earthquake detection capacity of Dense Oceanfloor Network system for Earthquakes and Tsunamis (DONET). Research Square.

Navarro, K. I. A., & McKinnon, M. (2020). Challenges of communicating science: Perspectives from the Philippines. Journal of Science Communication, 19(1).

Nawanao, L. P., & Ramos, N. T. (2023). Frontal wedge variations and controls of submarine landslides in the Negros–Sulu Trench System, Philippines. Frontiers in Earth Science, 11.

Nelson, A. R. (2000). Multiple large earthquakes in the past 1500 years on a fault in Metropolitan Manila, the Philippines. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 90(1), 73.

Newhall, C. (2021). Volcanic risk mitigation that could have been derailed but wasn't: Pinatubo, Philippines 1991. Frontiers in Earth Science, 9.

Nguyen, C.-N., Huang, B., Lee, T., et al. (2023). Slab tearing and lithospheric structures in Luzon island, Philippines. Frontiers in Earth Science, 11.

Oppenheimer, C., Scaillet, B., & Martin, R. S. (2011). Sulfur degassing from volcanoes: Source conditions, surveillance, plume chemistry and Earth system impacts. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 73(1), 363.

Padilla, L., Dryhurst, S., Hosseinpour, H., & Kruczkiewicz, A. (2021). Multiple hazard uncertainty visualization challenges and paths forward. Frontiers in Psychology, 12.

Pallister, J. S., Papale, P., Eichelberger, J. C., et al. (2019). Volcano observatory best practices (VOBP) workshops — A summary of findings and best-practice recommendations. Journal of Applied Volcanology, 8(1).

Parolai, S., Boxberger, T., Pilz, M., et al. (2017). Assessing earthquake early warning using sparse networks in developing countries: Case study of the Kyrgyz Republic. Frontiers in Earth Science, 5.

Pérez, N. M., Melián, G. V., Hernández, P. A., et al. (2022). Diffuse CO2 degassing precursors of the January 2020 eruption of Taal volcano, Philippines. Scientific Reports, 12(1).

Petrinovic, I. A., Martí, J., Aguirre-Díaz, G. J., et al. (2010). The Cerro Aguas Calientes caldera, NW Argentina. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 194, 15.

Potter, S., Jolly, G., Neall, V. E., Johnston, D., & Scott, B. J. (2014). Communicating the status of volcanic activity: Revising New Zealand's volcanic alert level system. Journal of Applied Volcanology, 3(1).

Pramono, S., Utama, M. R. J., Swastikarani, R., et al. (2023). Implementation early design of prototype EEWS development in Indonesia. Research Square.

Pritchard, M. E., Poland, M. P., Reath, K., et al. (2022). Optimizing satellite resources for the global assessment and mitigation of volcanic hazards. USGS Scientific Investigations Report.

Rahman, P., & Mehnaz, S. (2024). International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR). SSRN Electronic Journal.

Ramirez, A. B. G., Ramos, N. T., Nawanao, L. P., et al. (2022). An earthquake-triggered submarine mass failure mechanism for the 1994 Mindoro tsunami in the Philippines. Frontiers in Earth Science, 10.

Ramos, N. T., Tsutsumi, H., & Perez, J. S. (2009). Evidence of large prehistoric megathrust earthquakes along the Manila and Philippine trenches. AGU Fall Meeting.

Rea, R., Kagawa, T., & Zollo, A. (2025). Comparative evaluation of impact-based and hybrid approaches for real time earthquake shaking prediction. Scientific Reports, 15(1).

Reyes, P. J. D., Bornas, M. A., Dominey-Howes, D., et al. (2017). A synthesis and review of historical eruptions at Taal Volcano, Southern Luzon, Philippines. Earth-Science Reviews, 177, 565.

Ribó, M., Cronin, S. J., Garvin, J. B., et al. (2025). Time-series insights of the geomorphologic changes of the Hunga submarine caldera. Research Square.

Rimando, R. E., & Knuepfer, P. L. K. (2024). Tectonic control of aseismic creep and potential for induced seismicity along the West Valley Fault in southeastern Metro Manila. GeoHazards, 5(4), 1172.

Rimando, R. E., & Knuepfer, P. L. K. (2025). Earthquake history and rupture extents from morphology of fault scarps along the Valley Fault System (Philippines). GeoHazards, 6(2), 23.

Rimando, R. E., Kurita, K., & Kinugasa, Y. (2022). Spatial and temporal variation of aseismic creep along the dilational jog of the West Valley Fault, Philippines. Frontiers in Earth Science, 10.

Roche, O., Druitt, T. H., & Merle, O. (2000). Experimental study of caldera formation. Journal of Geophysical Research, 105, 395.

Rodolfo, K. S., Lagmay, A. M. F. A., Eco, R. C., et al. (2019). Super Typhoon Bopha and the Mayo River debris-flow disaster, Mindanao, Philippines, December 2012. InTech.

Rojas, S. M., Rosana, A. R. R., Montecillo, A. D., et al. (2019). Draft genome sequences of six bacteria isolated from the Benham Bank, Philippine Rise. Microbiology Resource Announcements, 8(29).

Ruth, D., & Costa, F. (2021). A petrological and conceptual model of Mayon volcano (Philippines). Bulletin of Volcanology, 83(10).

Sacchi, M., Pepe, F., Corradino, M., Insinga, D., Molisso, F., & Lubritto, C. (2014). The Neapolitan Yellow Tuff caldera offshore the Campi Flegrei. Marine Geology, 354, 15.

Sacchi, M., Natale, G. D., Spiess, V., et al. (2019). A roadmap for amphibious drilling at the Campi Flegrei caldera. Scientific Drilling, 26, 29.

Schlesinger, A., Kukovica, J., Rosenberger, A., et al. (2021). An earthquake early warning system for southwestern British Columbia. Frontiers in Earth Science, 9.

Sevilla, W. I., Jumawan, L. A., Clarito, C. J., et al. (2020). Improved 1D velocity model and deep long-period earthquakes in Kanlaon Volcano, Philippines. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 393, 106793.

Siebert, L., & Reid, M. E. (2023). Lateral edifice collapse and volcanic debris avalanches. Bulletin of Volcanology, 85(11).

Solana, C., & Kilburn, C. R. J. (2022). Translating research into operational procedures for reducing the risk from volcanic eruptions. Bulletin of Volcanology, 84(6).

Suárez, G. (2022). The Seismic Early Warning System of Mexico (SASMEX): A retrospective view and future challenges. Frontiers in Earth Science, 10.

Tajima, F., & Hayashida, T. (2018). Earthquake early warning: What does "seconds before a strong hit" mean? Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 5(1).

Tamburello, G., Kantzas, E. P., McGonigle, A. J. S., Aiuppa, A., & Giudice, G. (2010). UV camera measurements of fumarole field degassing (La Fossa crater, Vulcano Island). Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 199, 47.

Tan, M. L., Becker, J., Stock, K., et al. (2022). Understanding the social aspects of earthquake early warning: A literature review. Frontiers in Communication, 7.

Thelen, W. A., Hotovec-Ellis, A. J., & Bodin, P. (2016). Feasibility study of earthquake early warning (EEW) in Hawaii. USGS Open-File Report 2016–1172.

Tierz, P., Woodhouse, M., Phillips, J. C., et al. (2017). A framework for probabilistic multi-hazard assessment of rain-triggered lahars. Frontiers in Earth Science, 5.

Tsutsumi, H., & Perez, J.-L. (2011). Along strike variation of seismic behavior of the Philippine fault. AGU Fall Meeting.

Vaiciulyte, S., Novelo-Casanova, D. A., Husker, A., & Garduño-González, A. B. (2022). Population response to earthquakes and earthquake early warnings in Mexico. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 72, 102854.

Velazquez, O., Pescaroli, G., Cremen, G., & Galasso, C. (2020). A review of the technical and socio-organizational components of earthquake early warning systems. Frontiers in Earth Science, 8.

Vera, A., Pedrosa, M., Largo, F. F., Chua, F. S., & Botona, R. (2018). Earthquake impact assessment using REDAS software in selected barangays in Region XI. Philippine E-Journals, 24(2), 1.

Verolino, A., Wee, S. F., Jenkins, S. F., Costa, F., & Switzer, A. D. (2023). SEATANI: Hazards from seamounts in Southeast Asia, Taiwan, and Andaman and Nicobar Islands. Research Square.

Vinnell, L. J., Tan, M. L., Prasanna, R., & Becker, J. (2023). Knowledge, perceptions, and behavioral responses to earthquake early warning in Aotearoa New Zealand. Frontiers in Communication, 8.

Wannewitz, S., Hagenlocher, M., & Garschagen, M. (2016). Development and validation of a sub-national multi-hazard risk index for the Philippines. GI_Forum, 4(1), 133.

White, J. D. L., Bryan, S. E., Ross, P., Self, S., & Thórdarson, T. (2018). Physical volcanology of continental large igneous provinces: Update and review. The Geological Society of London.

Winson, A., Costa, F., Newhall, C. G., & Woo, G. (2014). An analysis of the issuance of volcanic alert levels during volcanic crises. Journal of Applied Volcanology, 3(1).

Witze, A. (2017). The fight to save thousands of lives with sea-floor sensors. Nature, 546(7659), 466.

Wu, Y. (2002). A virtual subnetwork approach to earthquake early warning. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 92(5), 2008.

Wu, Y., Chen, D.-Y., Lin, T.-L., et al. (2013). A high-density seismic network for earthquake early warning in Taiwan based on low cost sensors. Seismological Research Letters, 84(6), 1048.

Wu, Y., Hsiao, N., Lee, W. H. K., Teng, T., & Shin, T. (2007). State of the art and progress in the earthquake early warning system in Taiwan. In P. Gasparini, G. Manfredi, & J. Zschau (Eds.), Earthquake early warning systems (p. 283). Springer.

Xu, Y., Wang, J. P., Wu, Y., & Kuo-Chen, H. (2016). Reliability assessment on earthquake early warning: A case study from Taiwan. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 92, 397.

Yamada, M., Xiao, Y., Hayashimoto, N., & Noguchi, K. (2025). Performance of the earthquake early warning system for the 2024 Noto Peninsula earthquake. Earth Planets and Space, 77(1).

Ybañez, R. L., Romaguera, P. J., Malamud, B. D., & Lagmay, A. M. F. A. (2020). A multi-hazard interactions framework for the Philippines. AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts.

Yin, J., Soto, M. A., Ramírez, J. A., et al. (2023). Real-data testing of distributed acoustic sensing for offshore earthquake early warning. The Seismic Record, 3(4), 269.

Yu, S.-B., Hsu, Y., Bacolcol, T., Yang, C., Tsai, Y.-B., & Solidum, R. U. (2011). Present-day crustal deformation along the Philippine Fault in Luzon, Philippines. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 65, 64.

Zhang, M., Qiao, X., Seyler, B. C., et al. (2021). Brief communication: Effective earthquake early warning systems: Appropriate messaging and public awareness roles. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 21(10), 3243.

Zhang, T., Li, P., Shang, L., et al. (2022). Identification and evolution of tectonic units in the Philippine Sea Plate. China Geology, 5, 1.

Zhu, Y., Ji, Y., Zhu, W., et al. (2024). Juxtaposed slab dehydration, decarbonation and seismotectonic variation beneath the Philippine subduction zone. Scientific Reports, 14(1), 26966.

Zlotnicki, J., Sasai, Y., Johnston, M. J. S., et al. (2018). The 2010 seismovolcanic crisis at Taal Volcano (Philippines). Earth Planets and Space, 70(1).

Zlotnicki, J., Sasai, Y., Toutain, J., et al. (2008). Combined electromagnetic, geochemical and thermal surveys of Taal volcano (Philippines) during 2005–2006. Bulletin of Volcanology, 71(1), 29.

Zollo, A., Rea, R., Colombelli, S., & Elia, L. (2024). Retrospective performance analysis of a shaking-forecast based early-warning method for the 2023 Türkiye-Syria Mw 7.8 earthquake. Research Square.